Montana Housing Condition Study Appendix I - County Tables

Contents

Residential Dwellings

Table 1: Residential Dwellings by Construction Era – by County

Trends in the Residential Housing Stock

Table 2: Number of Bedrooms by Construction Era – by County **Table 3:** Number of Full Bathrooms by Construction Era – by County

Table 4: Total Square Feet by Construction Era – by County

Condition of the Residential Housing Stock

Table 5: Physical Condition by Structure Type – by County

Table 6: Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type – by County
 Table 7: Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era – by County
 Table 8: Effective Age by Quality and Workmanship – by County

Table 9: Effective Age by Foundation Type – by County **Table 10:** Effective Age by Structure Type – by County

Style and Characteristics of the Residential Housing Stock

Table 11: Building Style by Structure Type – by County

Table 12: Type of Foundation by Structure Type – by County
 Table 13: Presence of Basement by Structure Type – by County
 Table 14: Wall Construction by Structure Type – by County
 Table 15: Exterior Wall Finish by Construction Type – by County

Table 16: Roof Material by Structure Type – by County

For definitions and further discussion of the results of the study, see the 2005 Housing Condition Study – February 2005:

http://housing.mt.gov/Includes/CP/PDF/05HsngCondStdy.pdf

.

Source: Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal System (CAMAS) database maintained by the Montana Department of Revenue (MDOR).

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

State = Montana

			Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	% of Total
	Unsound	168	1,650	3,957	5,775	1.4%
	Very Poor	3	1,576	5,894	7,473	1.9%
	Poor	542	10,314	12,900	23,756	5.9%
Physical Condition	Fair	376	22,047	38,123	60,546	15.0%
Physical Condition	Average	2,952	27,450	133,922	164,324	40.8%
	Good	3,619	9,872	88,458	101,949	25.3%
	Very Good	2,389	1,883	26,036	30,308	7.5%
	Excellent	2,008	222	6,032	8,262	2.1%
Total	-	12,057	75,014	315,322	402,393	100.0%

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Beaverhead

			ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	23	26	49
	Very Poor	25	64	89
	Poor	68	173	241
Physical Condition	Fair	476	503	979
Physical Condition	Average	159	1,312	1,471
	Good	142	681	823
	Very Good	40	238	278
	Excellent	16	116	132
Total		949	3,113	4,062

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Big Horn

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	48	50	98	
	Very Poor	16	44	60	
Dhysical Condition	Poor	112	112	224	
Physical Condition	Fair	540	953	1,493	
	Average	434	745	1,179	
	Good	26	38	64	
Total		1,176	1,942	3,118	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Blaine

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	5	81	86	
	Very Poor	4	44	48	
	Poor	14	96	110	
Dhysical Condition	Fair	65	392	457	
Physical Condition	Average	164	733	897	
	Good	50	193	243	
	Very Good	1	31	32	
	Excellent	28	6	34	
Total	<u> </u>	331	1,576	1,907	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Broadwater

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	9	19	28	
	Very Poor	7	39	46	
Physical Condition	Poor	20	53	73	
Friysical Condition	Fair	134	170	304	
	Average	229	935	1,164	
	Good	230	93	323	
Total		629	1,309	1,938	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Carbon

			Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	11	78	89	
	Very Poor	0	59	128	187	
	Poor	0	284	520	804	
Physical Condition	Fair	0	239	1,150	1,389	
Physical Condition	Average	0	204	1,167	1,371	
	Good	32	118	1,002	1,152	
	Very Good	18	6	421	445	
	Excellent	0	0	24	24	
Total		50	921	4,490	5,461	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Carter

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	64	61	125	
	Very Poor	58	77	135	
Physical Condition	Poor	36	239	275	
	Fair	47	133	180	
	Average	23	22	45	
Total		228	532	760	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Cascade

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	131	140	271	
	Very Poor	0	97	366	463	
	Poor	0	336	1,245	1,581	
Physical Condition	Fair	26	1,291	3,442	4,759	
Physical Condition	Average	95	1,209	6,408	7,712	
	Good	232	354	7,682	8,268	
	Very Good	140	53	1,388	1,581	
	Excellent	290	13	909	1,212	
Total	-	783	3,484	21,580	25,847	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Chouteau

		Structur	е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	7	200	207
	Very Poor	17	184	201
	Poor	59	286	345
Physical Condition	Fair	137	518	655
Filysical Collulion	Average	128	543	671
	Good	98	377	475
	Very Good	4	24	28
	Excellent	1	2	3
Total		451	2,134	2,585

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Custer

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	41	98	139	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	48	164	212	
	Poor	202	567	769	
Filysical Collulion	Fair	196	1,007	1,203	
	Average	204	1,118	1,322	
	Good	8	720	728	
Total		699	3,674	4,373	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Daniels

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	5	67	72	
	Very Poor	10	97	107	
	Poor	6	136	142	
Physical Condition	Fair	7	238	245	
Friysical Condition	Average	55	245	300	
	Good	10	115	125	
	Very Good	3	22	25	
	Excellent	4	3	7	
Total	-	100	923	1,023	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Dawson

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	17	272	289	
	Very Poor	0	90	530	620	
	Poor	0	20	429	449	
Dhysical Condition	Fair	0	71	485	556	
Physical Condition	Average	0	190	656	846	
	Good	0	103	496	599	
	Very Good	6	9	182	197	
	Excellent	0	4	4	8	
Total	-	6	504	3,054	3,564	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Deer Lodge

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	16	35	51	
	Very Poor	6	87	93	
	Poor	7	311	318	
Physical Condition	Fair	174	1,349	1,523	
Friysical Condition	Average	160	1,307	1,467	
	Good	66	644	710	
	Very Good	11	151	162	
	Excellent	0	52	52	
Total		440	3,936	4,376	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Fallon

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	49	30	79
	Very Poor	72	130	202
Physical Condition	Poor	41	208	249
	Fair	68	319	387
	Average	58	309	367
Total		288	996	1,284

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Fergus

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	12	14	114	140	
	Very Poor	0	42	174	216	
	Poor	0	115	369	484	
Physical Condition	Fair	2	228	898	1,128	
Physical Condition	Average	7	307	1,517	1,831	
	Good	33	214	801	1,048	
	Very Good	36	65	321	422	
	Excellent	1	4	47	52	
Total	-	91	989	4,241	5,321	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Flathead

-			Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	48	50	98	
	Very Poor	0	40	122	162	
	Poor	0	1,166	356	1,522	
Physical Condition	Fair	0	4,854	1,612	6,466	
Physical Condition	Average	466	1,780	29,482	31,728	
	Good	224	4,372	10,746	15,342	
	Very Good	650	72	4,478	5,200	
	Excellent	990	22	2,282	3,294	
Total		2,330	12,354	49,128	63,812	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Gallatin

-			Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	16	20	36	
	Very Poor	0	8	22	30	
	Poor	0	17	78	95	
Physical Condition	Fair	204	1,254	509	1,967	
Physical Condition	Average	1,506	2,907	8,318	12,731	
	Good	1,083	83	6,863	8,029	
	Very Good	115	1	2,512	2,628	
	Excellent	70	0	247	317	
Total		2,978	4,286	18,569	25,833	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Garfield

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	11	53	64
	Very Poor	21	37	58
Physical Condition	Poor	38	141	179
Friysical Condition	Fair	87	321	408
	Average	44	75	119
	Good	21	12	33
Total		222	639	861

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Glacier

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	5	45	50	
	Very Poor	2	120	122	
	Poor	18	144	162	
Physical Condition	Fair	161	508	669	
Physical Condition	Average	254	865	1,119	
	Good	45	338	383	
	Very Good	3	33	36	
	Excellent	0	4	4	
Total	-	488	2,057	2,545	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Golden Valley

			ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	1	92	93
	Very Poor	12	44	56
	Poor	29	57	86
Physical Condition	Fair	34	153	187
	Average	21	73	94
	Good	1	30	31
	Very Good	3	4	7
Total		101	453	554

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Granite

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	7	10	17	
	Very Poor	6	9	15	
	Poor	12	38	50	
Dhysical Condition	Fair	144	218	362	
Physical Condition	Average	191	458	649	
	Good	56	701	757	
	Very Good	10	123	133	
	Excellent	5	22	27	
Total	-	431	1,579	2,010	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Hill

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	17	83	100	
	Very Poor	3	51	54	
	Poor	36	271	307	
Physical Condition	Fair	161	911	1,072	
Friysical Condition	Average	587	2,437	3,024	
	Good	193	683	876	
	Very Good	5	26	31	
	Excellent	4	2	6	
Total	-	1,006	4,464	5,470	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Jefferson

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	26	77	103	
	Very Poor	0	10	84	94	
	Poor	0	10	97	107	
Physical Condition	Fair	0	452	318	770	
Physical Condition	Average	0	480	1,128	1,608	
	Good	0	14	724	738	
	Very Good	22	0	776	798	
	Excellent	0	0	1	1	
Total	-	22	992	3,205	4,219	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Judith Basin

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	12	116	128	
	Very Poor	7	66	73	
	Poor	17	110	127	
Physical Condition	Fair	39	426	465	
Friysical Condition	Average	122	246	368	
	Good	76	95	171	
	Very Good	6	15	21	
	Excellent	1	0	1	
Total		280	1,074	1,354	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Lake

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	106	34	140	
	Very Poor	0	160	78	238	
	Poor	0	2,280	154	2,434	
Dharaina I O an alitina	Fair	0	424	644	1,068	
Physical Condition	Average	0	626	9,370	9,996	
	Good	34	594	4,834	5,462	
	Very Good	122	496	2,440	3,058	
	Excellent	84	36	702	822	
Total	-	240	4,722	18,256	23,218	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Lewis and Clark

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	112	45	157	
	Very Poor	1	32	63	96	
	Poor	0	54	144	198	
Dharaina I O an alitina	Fair	0	223	856	1,079	
Physical Condition	Average	111	4,044	7,702	11,857	
	Good	196	44	4,807	5,047	
	Very Good	145	14	1,790	1,949	
	Excellent	56	26	80	162	
Total		509	4,549	15,487	20,545	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Liberty

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	4	30	34	
	Very Poor	0	31	31	
	Poor	14	29	43	
Physical Condition	Fair	41	182	223	
	Average	96	376	472	
	Good	12	44	56	
	Very Good	1	3	4	
Total		168	695	863	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Lincoln

			ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	182	88	270
	Very Poor	20	70	90
Physical Condition	Poor	62	278	340
	Fair	2,686	1,124	3,810
	Average	570	10,702	11,272
	Good	466	1,070	1,536
	Excellent	0	2	2
Total		3,986	13,334	17,320

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Madison

			Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	13	32	45	
	Very Poor	0	4	36	40	
	Poor	0	21	83	104	
Dhysical Condition	Fair	0	283	334	617	
Physical Condition	Average	0	365	1,426	1,791	
	Good	220	71	874	1,165	
	Very Good	205	6	450	661	
	Excellent	303	0	231	534	
Total	-	728	763	3,466	4,957	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = McCone

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	6	68	74	
	Very Poor	12	98	110	
Physical Condition	Poor	20	125	145	
	Fair	52	318	370	
	Average	82	227	309	
	Good	15	9	24	
Total		187	845	1,032	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Meagher

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	7	22	29	
	Very Poor	6	42	48	
	Poor	5	58	63	
Physical Condition	Fair	20	158	178	
Physical Condition	Average	199	480	679	
	Good	27	232	259	
	Very Good	3	12	15	
	Excellent	1	4	5	
Total	-	268	1,008	1,276	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Mineral

		,			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	0	13	13	26
	Very Poor	0	5	12	17
	Poor	0	12	35	47
Physical Condition	Fair	0	44	165	209
	Average	0	540	748	1,288
	Good	0	60	151	211
	Very Good	4	2	4	10
Total		4	676	1,128	1,808

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Missoula

		,			
			Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	0	35	19	54
	Very Poor	0	19	15	34
	Poor	0	42	58	100
Dhuaisal Canditian	Fair	0	1,152	444	1,596
Physical Condition	Average	167	4,117	6,424	10,708
	Good	377	562	13,103	14,042
	Very Good	178	2	4,006	4,186
	Excellent	24	2	82	108
Total		746	5,931	24,151	30,828

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Musselshell

			ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	33	67	100
	Very Poor	53	168	221
	Poor	94	270	364
Physical Condition	Fair	295	703	998
	Average	187	420	607
	Good	54	45	99
	Very Good	0	2	2
Total		716	1,675	2,391

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Park

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	48	65	113	
	Very Poor	0	27	77	104	
	Poor	0	69	298	367	
Dharaina I O an alitina	Fair	0	323	1,333	1,656	
Physical Condition	Average	2	876	2,039	2,917	
	Good	2	51	1,832	1,885	
	Very Good	0	0	430	430	
	Excellent	0	0	34	34	
Total	-	4	1,394	6,108	7,506	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Petroleum

			ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	6	18	24
	Very Poor	5	22	27
	Poor	8	18	26
Physical Condition	Fair	30	77	107
	Average	36	71	107
	Good	9	13	22
	Very Good	0	1	1
Total		94	220	314

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Phillips

		Structui	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	7	77	84	
	Very Poor	15	72	87	
	Poor	93	111	204	
Physical Condition	Fair	123	365	488	
Friysical Coridition	Average	113	493	606	
	Good	25	492	517	
	Very Good	2	46	48	
	Excellent	0	6	6	
Total		378	1,662	2,040	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Pondera

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	11	56	67	
	Very Poor	5	80	85	
	Poor	44	181	225	
Physical Condition	Fair	128	405	533	
	Average	117	741	858	
	Good	25	327	352	
	Very Good	1	44	45	
Total		331	1,834	2,165	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Powder River

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	102	114	216
	Very Poor	25	47	72
Physical Condition	Poor	19	89	108
	Fair	37	354	391
	Average	117	104	221
Total		300	708	1,008

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Powell

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	11	6	17
	Very Poor	3	24	27
	Poor	30	102	132
Physical Condition	Fair	133	504	637
Friysical Condition	Average	169	1,111	1,280
	Good	141	403	544
	Very Good	28	80	108
	Excellent	22	29	51
Total	<u>.</u>	537	2,259	2,796

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Prairie

			ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	6	47	53
	Very Poor	3	48	51
	Poor	8	114	122
Physical Condition	Fair	39	273	312
	Average	33	60	93
	Good	9	7	16
	Very Good	0	1	1
Total	-	98	550	648

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Ravalli

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	99	67	166	
	Very Poor	0	21	116	137	
	Poor	0	158	278	436	
Physical Condition	Fair	5	1,315	707	2,027	
Physical Condition	Average	149	1,163	4,254	5,566	
	Good	27	305	5,366	5,698	
	Very Good	2	9	973	984	
	Excellent	6	0	312	318	
Total	-	189	3,070	12,073	15,332	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Richland

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	14	111	125	
	Very Poor	0	42	180	222	
	Poor	0	101	410	511	
Physical Condition	Fair	0	182	1,032	1,214	
Physical Condition	Average	0	223	874	1,097	
	Good	0	18	285	303	
	Very Good	24	0	185	209	
	Excellent	0	0	25	25	
Total		24	580	3,102	3,706	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Roosevelt

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	19	133	152
	Very Poor	44	246	290
	Poor	71	352	423
Physical Condition	Fair	180	592	772
Friysical Condition	Average	137	596	733
	Good	77	127	204
	Very Good	0	11	11
	Excellent	5	3	8
Total		533	2,060	2,593

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Rosebud

			Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	45	63	108	
	Very Poor	0	86	182	268	
	Poor	0	358	442	800	
Physical Condition	Fair	6	228	516	750	
	Average	0	147	351	498	
	Good	0	4	245	249	
	Very Good	0	0	11	11	
Total		6	868	1,810	2,684	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Sanders

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	28	22	50	
	Very Poor	186	40	226	
	Poor	892	168	1,060	
Physical Condition	Fair	520	1,154	1,674	
Physical Condition	Average	598	4,518	5,116	
	Good	24	1,194	1,218	
	Very Good	2	470	472	
	Excellent	0	4	4	
Total		2,250	7,570	9,820	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Sheridan

	,				
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	0	17	183	200
	Very Poor	0	20	416	436
Physical Condition	Poor	0	57	449	506
Friysical Coridition	Fair	4	102	290	396
	Average	0	28	263	291
	Good	2	4	41	47
Total		6	228	1,642	1,876

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Silver Bow

		,	Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	0	25	127	152
	Very Poor	0	23	240	263
	Poor	0	26	799	825
Physical Condition	Fair	0	104	2,217	2,321
Physical Condition	Average	0	1,112	3,631	4,743
	Good	0	238	2,965	3,203
	Very Good	70	13	954	1,037
	Excellent	50	0	182	232
Total		120	1,541	11,115	12,776

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Stillwater

		,	Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	0	7	25	32
	Very Poor	0	6	23	29
	Poor	0	63	77	140
Physical Condition	Fair	0	270	469	739
Physical Condition	Average	10	187	1,558	1,755
	Good	0	64	785	849
	Very Good	0	59	78	137
	Excellent	0	3	8	11
Total		10	659	3,023	3,692

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Sweet Grass

		,	Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	1	17	18	
	Very Poor	0	2	9	11	
	Poor	0	29	25	54	
Dhysical Condition	Fair	0	117	129	246	
Physical Condition	Average	0	69	505	574	
	Good	28	33	516	577	
	Very Good	30	3	324	357	
	Excellent	0	0	29	29	
Total	•	58	254	1,554	1,866	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Teton

		Structui	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	3	57	60	
	Very Poor	4	89	93	
	Poor	21	218	239	
Physical Condition	Fair	173	610	783	
Friysical Condition	Average	128	1,030	1,158	
	Good	44	229	273	
	Very Good	6	79	85	
	Excellent	0	10	10	
Total		379	2,322	2,701	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Toole

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	3	89	92	
	Very Poor	2	174	176	
	Poor	20	268	288	
Physical Condition	Fair	125	495	620	
	Average	178	579	757	
	Good	8	76	84	
	Very Good	0	3	3	
Total		336	1,684	2,020	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Treasure

		Structu		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	4	16	20
	Very Poor	8	49	57
Physical Condition	Poor	31	57	88
Physical Condition	Fair	22	99	121
	Average	30	69	99
	Good	15	10	25
Total		110	300	410

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Valley

		,	Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	154	34	276	464
	Very Poor	0	4	226	230
	Poor	542	30	384	956
Physical Condition	Fair	8	159	806	973
Physical Condition	Average	1	117	981	1,099
	Good	7	30	278	315
	Very Good	0	3	57	60
	Excellent	0	7	29	36
Total	•	712	384	3,037	4,133

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Wheatland

		Structu	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	8	28	36	
	Very Poor	17	50	67	
	Poor	68	150	218	
Physical Condition	Fair	73	303	376	
	Average	32	297	329	
	Good	8	41	49	
	Very Good	1	3	4	
Total		207	872	1,079	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Wibaux

		,	Structure Type			
			Mobile	Single	Total	
	Unsound	0	2	24	26	
	Very Poor	0	2	53	55	
	Poor	0	6	66	72	
Physical Condition	Fair	0	22	150	172	
	Average	0	39	72	111	
	Good	15	12	5	32	
	Very Good	0	0	2	2	
Total		15	83	372	470	

TABLE 5
Physical Condition by Structure Type

County = Yellowstone

		,	Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Unsound	2	56	101	159
	Very Poor	2	55	135	192
	Poor	0	2,845	574	3,419
Dhysical Condition	Fair	121	1,563	3,782	5,466
Physical Condition	Average	438	1,065	11,751	13,254
	Good	1,107	543	15,018	16,668
	Very Good	622	940	2,832	4,394
	Excellent	134	18	539	691
Total		2,426	7,085	34,732	44,243

State = Montana

			Structure Type)	
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	3,217	3,217
	Poor	1	0	9,751	9,752
	Low Cost	1	40,751	34,177	74,929
Ouglity 8	Fair	862	0	91,895	92,757
Quality & Workmanship	Average	6,774	22,166	136,446	165,386
Workmansinp	Good	3,154	11,687	30,596	45,437
	Very Good	945	0	7,207	8,152
	Excellent	265	410	1,518	2,193
	Superior	55	0	515	570
Total		12,057	75,014	315,322	402,393

County = Beaverhead

		Structur	е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	95	95
	Poor	0	280	280
	Low Cost	310	561	871
Ouglity 9	Fair	0	1,222	1,222
Quality & Workmanship	Average	386	791	1,177
VVOIKITIATISTIIP	Good	241	138	379
	Very Good	0	15	15
	Excellent	12	6	18
	Superior	0	5	5
Total	-	949	3,113	4,062

County = Big Horn

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	57	57
	Poor	0	69	69
	Low Cost	545	190	735
Quality &	Fair	0	789	789
Workmanship	Average	382	773	1,155
	Good	232	53	285
	Very Good	0	10	10
	Excellent	17	1	18
Total	•	1,176	1,942	3,118

County = Blaine

			ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	13	13
	Poor	0	97	97
	Low Cost	194	429	623
Quality &	Fair	0	655	655
Workmanship	Average	73	317	390
	Good	36	61	97
	Very Good	0	4	4
	Excellent	28	0	28
Total	•	331	1,576	1,907

County = Broadwater

			е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	13	13
	Poor	0	53	53
	Low Cost	297	116	413
Quality &	Fair	0	579	579
Workmanship	Average	246	447	693
	Good	86	87	173
	Very Good	0	13	13
	Excellent	0	1	1
Total	Ţ	629	1,309	1,938

County = Carbon

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	39	39
	Poor	0	0	92	92
	Low Cost	0	363	527	890
Quality &	Fair	0	0	1,869	1,869
Workmanship	Average	8	426	1,350	1,784
	Good	42	129	493	664
	Very Good	0	0	109	109
	Excellent	0	3	11	14
Total		50	921	4,490	5,461

County = Carter

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Cheap	0	5	5	
	Poor	0	16	16	
Ouglity 8	Low Cost	155	154	309	
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	267	267	
vvoikinarisnip	Average	43	83	126	
	Good	30	6	36	
	Very Good	0	1	1	
Total	-	228	532	760	

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Cascade

			Structure Type		
			Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	4	4
	Poor	0	0	79	79
	Low Cost	0	2,213	706	2,919
Ovelity 0	Fair	162	0	4,186	4,348
Quality & Workmanship	Average	271	890	13,893	15,054
Workmanship	Good	269	355	2,275	2,899
	Very Good	76	0	376	452
	Excellent	5	26	56	87
	Superior	0	0	5	5
Total	•	783	3,484	21,580	25,847

County = Chouteau

			е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	62	62
	Poor	0	135	135
	Low Cost	204	571	775
Quality &	Fair	0	787	787
Workmanship	Average	149	507	656
	Good	93	66	159
	Very Good	0	6	6
	Excellent	5	0	5
Total		451	2,134	2,585

County = Custer

			е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	18	18
	Poor	0	247	247
	Low Cost	454	697	1,151
Quality &	Fair	0	1,639	1,639
Workmanship	Average	165	939	1,104
	Good	78	120	198
	Very Good	0	14	14
	Excellent	2	0	2
Total		699	3,674	4,373

County = Daniels

		Structur	е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	5	5
	Poor	0	34	34
Quality & Workmanship	Low Cost	54	296	350
	Fair	0	370	370
	Average	32	183	215
	Good	14	27	41
	Very Good	0	8	8
Total		100	923	1,023

County = Dawson

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	9	9
	Poor	0	0	37	37
	Low Cost	0	213	245	458
Quality &	Fair	0	0	1,014	1,014
Workmanship	Average	6	185	1,655	1,846
	Good	0	105	82	187
	Very Good	0	0	12	12
	Excellent	0	1	0	1
Total		6	504	3,054	3,564

County = Deer Lodge

			ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	16	16
	Poor	0	115	115
	Low Cost	240	685	925
Quality &	Fair	0	2,152	2,152
Workmanship	Average	135	893	1,028
	Good	64	69	133
	Very Good	0	6	6
	Excellent	1	0	1
Total	<u> </u>	440	3,936	4,376

County = Fallon

			re Type	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	13	13
Quality &	Low Cost	170	279	449
Workmanship	Fair	0	473	473
	Average	68	224	292
	Good	50	6	56
Total		288	996	1,284

County = Fergus

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	32	32
	Poor	0	0	182	182
	Low Cost	0	502	746	1,248
Quality &	Fair	0	0	1,448	1,448
Workmanship	Average	44	306	1,463	1,813
	Good	47	163	323	533
	Very Good	0	0	42	42
	Excellent	0	18	5	23
Total	•	91	989	4,241	5,321

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Flathead

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	842	842
	Poor	0	0	1,856	1,856
	Low Cost	0	6,682	4,694	11,376
O 1it 0	Fair	142	0	14,422	14,564
Quality & Workmanship	Average	970	3,296	17,296	21,562
vvoikinansnip	Good	674	2,328	6,616	9,618
	Very Good	476	0	2,442	2,918
	Excellent	44	48	654	746
	Superior	24	0	306	330
Total	<u>.</u>	2,330	12,354	49,128	63,812

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Gallatin

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	83	83
	Poor	0	0	246	246
	Low Cost	0	2,013	679	2,692
O 1:4 0	Fair	193	0	2,840	3,033
Quality & Workmanship	Average	1,962	1,196	10,865	14,023
vvorkmansnip	Good	713	1,069	2,659	4,441
	Very Good	38	0	894	932
	Excellent	44	8	250	302
	Superior	28	0	53	81
Total	•	2,978	4,286	18,569	25,833

County = Garfield

		Structur	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Cheap	0	14	14	
	Poor	0	67	67	
O 1:4 0	Low Cost	90	245	335	
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	224	224	
vvoikinarisnip	Average	72	85	157	
	Good	56	4	60	
	Excellent	4	0	4	
Total	•	222	639	861	

County = Glacier

			е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	22	22
	Poor	0	139	139
	Low Cost	213	440	653
Quality &	Fair	0	831	831
Workmanship	Average	220	517	737
	Good	54	102	156
	Very Good	0	5	5
	Excellent	1	1	2
Total		488	2,057	2,545

County = Golden Valley

		Structur	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Cheap	0	53	53	
	Poor	0	31	31	
Ouglity 8	Low Cost	38	116	154	
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	176	176	
vvoikinarisnip	Average	48	64	112	
	Good	15	10	25	
	Very Good	0	3	3	
Total		101	453	554	

County = Granite

		Structui	ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	7	7
	Poor	0	35	35
	Low Cost	175	251	426
Quality 8	Fair	0	704	704
Quality & Workmanship	Average	151	425	576
VVOIKITIATISTIIP	Good	100	117	217
	Very Good	0	34	34
	Excellent	5	4	9
	Superior	0	2	2
Total	•	431	1,579	2,010

County = Hill

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Cheap	0	71	71	
	Poor	0	176	176	
	Low Cost	679	587	1,266	
Quality &	Fair	0	1,578	1,578	
Workmanship	Average	237	1,791	2,028	
	Good	85	233	318	
	Very Good	0	28	28	
	Excellent	5	0	5	
Total		1,006	4,464	5,470	

County = Jefferson

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	73	73
	Poor	0	0	185	185
	Low Cost	0	640	373	1,013
Ovality 9	Fair	0	0	777	777
Quality & Workmanship	Average	20	113	1,223	1,356
vvorkmansnip	Good	2	231	536	769
	Very Good	0	0	32	32
	Excellent	0	8	5	13
	Superior	0	0	1	1
Total	•	22	992	3,205	4,219

County = Judith Basin

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	40	40
	Poor	0	92	92
	Low Cost	127	272	399
Quality &	Fair	0	369	369
Workmanship	Average	95	247	342
·	Good	52	42	94
	Very Good	0	11	11
	Excellent	6	1	7
Total	•	280	1,074	1,354

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Lake

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	270	270
	Poor	0	0	1,016	1,016
	Low Cost	0	2,706	3,366	6,072
Ouglity 9	Fair	6	0	5,166	5,172
Quality & Workmanship	Average	72	1,358	5,622	7,052
Workmanship	Good	160	638	1,992	2,790
	Very Good	2	0	578	580
	Excellent	0	20	200	220
	Superior	0	0	46	46
Total	-	240	4,722	18,256	23,218

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Lewis and Clark

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	105	105
	Poor	1	0	383	384
	Low Cost	0	2,399	901	3,300
O 1:4 0	Fair	0	0	2,825	2,825
Quality &	Average	163	805	9,171	10,139
Workmanship	Good	274	1,331	1,781	3,386
	Very Good	70	0	294	364
	Excellent	1	14	25	40
	Superior	0	0	2	2
Total	•	509	4,549	15,487	20,545

County = Liberty

			е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	2	2
	Poor	0	22	22
	Low Cost	37	104	141
Quality &	Fair	0	237	237
Workmanship	Average	61	277	338
	Good	68	47	115
	Very Good	0	6	6
	Excellent	2	0	2
Total	-	168	695	863

County = Lincoln

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	276	276
	Poor	0	900	900
	Low Cost	2,992	2,450	5,442
Ouglity 8	Fair	0	4,456	4,456
Quality & Workmanship	Average	806	4,542	5,348
WORMINATISTIIP	Good	188	640	828
	Very Good	0	60	60
	Excellent	0	6	6
	Superior	0	4	4
Total	-	3,986	13,334	17,320

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Madison

		Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	67	67
	Poor	0	0	134	134
	Low Cost	0	438	411	849
Ovality 9	Fair	0	0	990	990
Quality & Workmanship	Average	320	217	1,276	1,813
vvorkmansnip	Good	140	108	387	635
	Very Good	150	0	136	286
	Excellent	115	0	34	149
	Superior	3	0	31	34
Total	•	728	763	3,466	4,957

County = McCone

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Cheap	0	4	4	
	Poor	0	37	37	
Ouglity 8	Low Cost	89	300	389	
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	269	269	
WORMINATISTIIP	Average	68	230	298	
	Good	24	5	29	
	Excellent	6	0	6	
Total	•	187	845	1,032	

County = Meagher

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	30	30
	Poor	0	74	74
	Low Cost	170	245	415
Quality &	Fair	0	350	350
Workmanship	Average	75	218	293
	Good	23	69	92
	Very Good	0	17	17
	Excellent	0	5	5
Total		268	1,008	1,276

County = Mineral

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	52	52
	Poor	0	0	127	127
	Low Cost	0	409	299	708
Quality &	Fair	0	0	344	344
Workmanship	Average	0	164	255	419
	Good	4	101	48	153
	Very Good	0	0	1	1
	Excellent	0	2	2	4
Total		4	676	1,128	1,808

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Missoula

		Structure Type			
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	41	41
	Poor	0	0	135	135
	Low Cost	0	2,825	836	3,661
Ouglity 9	Fair	41	0	4,260	4,301
Quality & Workmanship	Average	570	2,290	15,253	18,113
vvoikinansnip	Good	84	794	3,035	3,913
	Very Good	51	0	542	593
	Excellent	0	22	42	64
	Superior	0	0	7	7
Total		746	5,931	24,151	30,828

County = Musselshell

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	58	58
	Poor	0	119	119
	Low Cost	453	358	811
Quality &	Fair	0	637	637
Workmanship	Average	190	442	632
	Good	69	60	129
	Very Good	0	1	1
	Excellent	4	0	4
Total	-	716	1,675	2,391

County = Park

		()	Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	68	68
	Poor	0	0	172	172
	Low Cost	0	580	537	1,117
Ovality 9	Fair	0	0	1,517	1,517
Quality & Workmanship	Average	4	487	2,805	3,296
vvorkmansnip	Good	0	314	774	1,088
	Very Good	0	0	189	189
	Excellent	0	13	37	50
	Superior	0	0	9	9
Total		4	1,394	6,108	7,506

County = Petroleum

		Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	7	7
	Poor	0	34	34
Quality &	Low Cost	34	85	119
Workmanship	Fair	0	61	61
	Average	34	32	66
	Good	26	1	27
Total		94	220	314

County = Phillips

		Structur	ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	26	26
	Poor	0	116	116
	Low Cost	212	491	703
Quality &	Fair	0	532	532
Workmanship	Average	118	478	596
	Good	47	16	63
	Very Good	0	3	3
	Excellent	1	0	1
Total	•	378	1,662	2,040

County = Pondera

		Structui	ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	20	20
	Poor	0	63	63
Ouglity 8	Low Cost	179	289	468
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	721	721
VVOIKITIATISTIIP	Average	91	667	758
	Good	61	71	132
	Very Good	0	3	3
Total		331	1,834	2,165

County = Powder River

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Cheap	0	15	15	
	Poor	0	86	86	
Quality &	Low Cost	142	160	302	
Workmanship	Fair	0	275	275	
	Average	135	161	296	
	Good	23	11	34	
Total		300	708	1,008	

County = Powell

		Structur	ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	27	27
	Poor	0	64	64
	Low Cost	183	268	451
Quality 8	Fair	0	902	902
Quality & Workmanship	Average	227	826	1,053
vvoikinansinp	Good	115	129	244
	Very Good	0	31	31
	Excellent	12	11	23
	Superior	0	1	1
Total	•	537	2,259	2,796

County = Prairie

		Structui	Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Cheap	0	5	5	
	Poor	0	39	39	
Quality &	Low Cost	49	190	239	
Workmanship	Fair	0	226	226	
	Average	31	86	117	
	Good	18	4	22	
Total		98	550	648	

County = Ravalli

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	134	134
	Poor	0	0	255	255
	Low Cost	0	1,686	1,068	2,754
Ovelity 9	Fair	4	0	4,298	4,302
Quality & Workmanship	Average	131	1,023	4,855	6,009
vvoikinansnip	Good	48	349	1,193	1,590
	Very Good	0	0	181	181
	Excellent	6	12	61	79
	Superior	0	0	28	28
Total	<u>.</u>	189	3,070	12,073	15,332

County = Richland

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	2	2
	Poor	0	0	37	37
	Low Cost	0	268	587	855
Quality &	Fair	24	0	1,483	1,507
Workmanship	Average	0	245	905	1,150
	Good	0	66	81	147
	Very Good	0	0	7	7
	Excellent	0	1	0	1
Total	<u>.</u>	24	580	3,102	3,706

County = Roosevelt

		Structur	е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	7	7
	Poor	0	46	46
	Low Cost	307	509	816
Quality &	Fair	0	824	824
Workmanship	Average	153	584	737
	Good	60	86	146
	Very Good	0	4	4
	Excellent	13	0	13
Total		533	2,060	2,593

County = Rosebud

		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	25	25
	Poor	0	0	99	99
0 111 0	Low Cost	0	536	437	973
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	6	0	550	556
Workmanship	Average	0	288	666	954
	Good	0	44	29	73
	Very Good	0	0	4	4
Total	•	6	868	1,810	2,684

County = Sanders

			Structure Type		
		Mobile	Single	Total	
	Cheap	0	170	170	
	Poor	0	690	690	
O 1:t 0	Low Cost	1,552	1,788	3,340	
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	2,240	2,240	
vvoikinarisnip	Average	478	1,738	2,216	
	Good	220	880	1,100	
	Very Good	0	64	64	
Total	•	2,250	7,570	9,820	

County = Sheridan

		Ç	Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	37	37
	Poor	0	0	84	84
0 111 0	Low Cost	0	138	454	592
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	4	0	541	545
workmansnip	Average	2	71	482	555
	Good	0	19	42	61
	Very Good	0	0	2	2
Total	•	6	228	1,642	1,876

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Silver Bow

			Structure Type)	
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	5	5
	Poor	0	0	23	23
	Low Cost	0	806	423	1,229
a a	Fair	0	0	6,252	6,252
Quality & Workmanship	Average	32	429	3,777	4,238
vvorkmansnip	Good	88	306	561	955
	Very Good	0	0	63	63
	Excellent	0	0	8	8
	Superior	0	0	3	3
Total	•	120	1,541	11,115	12,776

County = Stillwater

			Structure Type)	
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	23	23
	Poor	0	0	96	96
	Low Cost	0	309	435	744
a a	Fair	5	0	1,275	1,280
Quality & Workmanship	Average	5	255	938	1,198
vvoikinansnip	Good	0	93	208	301
	Very Good	0	0	40	40
	Excellent	0	2	6	8
	Superior	0	0	2	2
Total		10	659	3,023	3,692

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Sweet Grass

			Structure Type		
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	13	13
	Poor	0	0	67	67
	Low Cost	0	69	265	334
O 1:4 0	Fair	0	0	485	485
Quality & Workmanship	Average	54	118	543	715
vvorkmansnip	Good	4	58	138	200
	Very Good	0	0	33	33
	Excellent	0	9	8	17
	Superior	0	0	2	2
Total	•	58	254	1,554	1,866

County = Teton

		Structu	ге Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	50	50
	Poor	0	91	91
	Low Cost	203	371	574
Ouglity 9	Fair	0	919	919
Quality & Workmanship	Average	103	756	859
vvoikinansinp	Good	65	119	184
	Very Good	0	11	11
	Excellent	8	3	11
	Superior	0	2	2
Total		379	2,322	2,701

County = Toole

		Structur	е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	31	31
	Poor	0	78	78
Ouglity 8	Low Cost	215	338	553
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	673	673
VVOIKITIATISTIIP	Average	84	441	525
	Good	37	111	148
	Very Good	0	12	12
Total		336	1,684	2,020

County = Treasure

		Structur	е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	18	18
Ouglity 8	Low Cost	63	81	144
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	123	123
vvoikinansinp	Average	33	69	102
	Good	14	7	21
	Very Good	0	1	1
Total		110	300	410

County = Valley

		()	Structure Type)	
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	25	25
	Poor	0	0	112	112
	Low Cost	0	142	564	706
Quality &	Fair	0	0	1,017	1,017
Workmanship	Average	712	179	1,135	2,026
	Good	0	61	154	215
	Very Good	0	0	28	28
	Excellent	0	2	2	4
Total	•	712	384	3,037	4,133

County = Wheatland

		Structur	е Туре	
		Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	30	30
	Poor	0	78	78
Ouglity 9	Low Cost	88	262	350
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	293	293
vvoikinarisnip	Average	83	194	277
	Good	36	14	50
	Very Good	0	1	1
Total	•	207	872	1,079

County = Wibaux

			Structure Type)	
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	3	3
	Poor	0	0	11	11
Overlity o	Low Cost	0	23	64	87
Quality & Workmanship	Fair	0	0	177	177
workmansnip	Average	15	23	112	150
	Good	0	35	5	40
	Excellent	0	2	0	2
Total	•	15	83	372	470

TABLE 6
Quality Workmanship by Structure Type

County = Yellowstone

			Structure Type)	
		Condo	Mobile	Single	Total
	Cheap	0	0	14	14
	Poor	0	0	169	169
	Low Cost	1	3,878	1,382	5,261
Ovality 0	Fair	275	0	7,606	7,881
Quality & Workmanship	Average	1,413	2,530	20,879	24,822
WORKINATISTIIP	Good	605	610	3,773	4,988
	Very Good	82	0	830	912
	Excellent	50	67	73	190
	Superior	0	0	6	6
Total		2,426	7,085	34,732	44,243

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

State = Montana

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
Year Built	1959 and earlier	45,958	2,113	69	63,876	3,251	28,781	6,798	2	377	151,225
	1960-1969	16,820	204	17	5,609	1,577	11,509	620	0	184	36,540
	1970-1979	35,589	333	69	10,101	6,071	28,620	776	2	642	82,203
	1980-1989	22,626	273	275	6,036	7,069	3,940	769	13	1,427	42,428
	1990-1999	32,786	235	1,149	5,459	19,384	1,605	622	185	3,721	65,146
	2000	3,263	13	176	540	2,212	158	53	94	439	6,948
	2001	3,201	22	203	490	2,342	130	43	106	606	7,143
	2002	3,428	16	168	468	2,400	148	59	144	520	7,351
	2003	1,687	8	66	177	1,085	38	12	24	234	3,331
	2004	28	0	1	1	46	0	0	0	2	78
Total		165,386	3,217	2,193	92,757	45,437	74,929	9,752	570	8,152	402,393

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Beaverhead

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	227	80	0	696	22	481	224	0	0	1,730
	1960-1969	146	4	0	92	24	128	17	0	2	413
	1970-1979	383	1	2	185	54	177	17	0	1	820
	1980-1989	131	3	5	121	52	38	18	0	3	371
Year Built	1990-1999	234	6	7	104	184	40	4	4	7	590
	2000	18	1	0	14	15	3	0	1	1	53
	2001	16	0	2	0	15	0	0	0	1	34
	2002	20	0	2	10	11	4	0	0	0	47
	2003	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
Total		1,177	95	18	1,222	379	871	280	5	15	4,062

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Big Horn

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	325	25	0	666	7	202	55	0	1,280
	1960-1969	136	5	1	32	6	164	4	2	350
	1970-1979	364	5	0	48	47	330	1	1	796
	1980-1989	142	12	1	19	73	30	5	5	287
Year Built	1990-1999	137	7	16	19	107	7	4	2	299
Teal Dull	2000	27	1	0	1	14	0	0	0	43
	2001	6	0	0	3	12	1	0	0	22
	2002	12	1	0	1	16	1	0	0	31
	2003	5	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	9
	2004	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total		1,155	57	18	789	285	735	69	10	3,118

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Blaine

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	163	13	0	571	25	405	92	0	1,269
	1960-1969	20	0	0	18	0	45	0	0	83
	1970-1979	104	0	0	37	19	151	3	2	316
Year Built	1980-1989	65	0	0	14	10	18	1	1	109
Teal Dull	1990-1999	36	0	0	14	36	3	0	0	89
	2000	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	6
	2001	1	0	26	0	2	1	0	1	31
	2002	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	4
Total		390	13	28	655	97	623	97	4	1,907

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Broadwater

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	113	9	0	319	11	103	28	0	583
	1960-1969	26	0	0	15	3	78	0	0	122
	1970-1979	115	0	0	154	25	198	3	2	497
	1980-1989	88	1	0	55	25	22	6	2	199
Year Built	1990-1999	247	2	1	24	83	10	14	5	386
	2000	35	1	0	5	11	1	1	3	57
	2001	30	0	0	3	7	0	1	0	41
	2002	30	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	35
	2003	9	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	18
Total	-	693	13	1	579	173	413	53	13	1,938

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Carbon

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	397	22	0	1,422	38	482	69	7	2,437
	1960-1969	119	10	0	104	14	102	6	2	357
	1970-1979	433	0	1	149	77	238	5	5	908
	1980-1989	259	2	2	55	97	37	7	28	487
Year Built	1990-1999	423	3	5	98	314	21	2	41	907
Teal Dull	2000	62	0	1	10	31	4	0	6	114
	2001	36	0	2	16	33	2	1	8	98
	2002	32	0	1	9	32	1	0	9	84
	2003	23	2	2	5	27	3	2	3	67
	2004		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Total		1,784	39	14	1,869	664	890	92	109	5,461

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Carter

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	19	3	225	0	160	14	0	421
	1960-1969	19	0	16	0	55	0	0	90
	1970-1979	32	1	19	3	81	0	1	137
Year Built	1980-1989	33	1	7	5	10	2	0	58
Teal Dull	1990-1999	16	0	0	18	3	0	0	37
	2000	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
	2001	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	4
	2002	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	8
Total		126	5	267	36	309	16	1	760

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Cascade

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	8,329	2	1	3,418	473	682	62	0	28	12,995
	1960-1969	2,385	0	0	136	247	540	1	0	13	3,322
	1970-1979	2,384	1	0	354	453	1,473	7	0	65	4,737
	1980-1989	857	1	7	166	400	190	2	0	66	1,689
Year Built	1990-1999	838	0	61	222	922	27	5	3	188	2,266
real built	2000	80	0	6	29	85	3	1	0	25	229
	2001	70	0	4	11	106	2	0	2	22	217
	2002	61	0	6	9	118	1	1	0	20	216
	2003	49	0	2	3	95	1	0	0	25	175
	2004	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	•	15,054	4	87	4,348	2,899	2,919	79	5	452	25,847

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Chouteau

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	265	54	0	697	15	559	126	0	1,716
	1960-1969	70	7	0	21	11	73	4	0	186
	1970-1979	177	0	0	39	20	123	3	0	362
	1980-1989	90	0	1	17	23	10	1	1	143
Year Built	1990-1999	44	1	3	7	72	8	1	5	141
Teal Dull	2000	8	0	0	1	8	1	0	0	18
	2001	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	7
	2002	0	0	0	2	4	1	0	0	7
	2003	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
	2004	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total			62	5	787	159	775	135	6	2,585

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Custer

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	413	7	0	1,199	14	668	227	4	2,532
	1960-1969	123	2	0	93	16	113	7	1	355
	1970-1979	313	0	0	267	58	330	2	4	974
	1980-1989	105	2	0	32	10	27	1	1	178
Year Built	1990-1999	125	5	1	34	78	6	7	4	260
real built	2000	8	0	0	6	10	2	1	0	27
	2001	7	1	0	1	6	0	2	0	17
	2002	10	1	1	3	4	2	0	0	21
	2003	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	7
	2004	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Total			18	2	1,639	198	1,151	247	14	4,373

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Daniels

				Quality	& Workm	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	59	5	315	4	301	34	0	718
	1960-1969	27	0	19	0	12	0	0	58
	1970-1979	67	0	30	11	31	0	0	139
	1980-1989	27	0	6	11	6	0	3	53
Year Built	1990-1999	29	0	0	11	0	0	4	44
	2000	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
	2001	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
	2002	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	2003	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Total		215	5	370	41	350	34	8	1,023

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Dawson

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	p			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	903	6	0	932	25	246	28	6	2,146
	1960-1969	209	1	0	24	13	83	3	0	333
	1970-1979	514	0	0	40	70	118	0	3	745
	1980-1989	175	2	0	15	31	7	3	2	235
Year Built	1990-1999	34	0	0	1	36	3	2	1	77
	2000	5	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	11
	2001	3	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	8
	2002	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	6
	2003	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
Total		1,846	9	1	1,014	187	458	37	12	3,564

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Deer Lodge

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	609	9	0	1,963	26	661	94	1	3,363
	1960-1969	42	0	0	38	1	76	5	0	162
	1970-1979	128	0	0	89	8	157	3	0	385
	1980-1989	54	0	0	22	9	10	4	1	100
Year Built	1990-1999	151	3	1	30	62	14	6	3	270
	2000	18	4	0	1	5	1	2	0	31
	2001	8	0	0	4	9	2	0	0	23
	2002	17	0	0	4	12	4	1	1	39
	2003	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	3
Total		1,028	16	1	2,152	133	925	115	6	4,376

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Fallon

			Q	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip		
						Low		
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1959 and earlier	46	1	361	0	277	12	697
	1960-1969	52	0	39	1	53	0	145
	1970-1979	92	0	53	2	103	0	250
	1980-1989	57	0	16	1	14	0	88
Year Built	1990-1999	40	0	3	46	2	1	92
	2000	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	2001	2	0	1	1	0	0	4
	2002	1	0	0	4	0	0	5
	2003	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Total		292	1	473	56	449	13	1,284

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Fergus

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	983	22	0	1,262	96	706	150	3	3,222
	1960-1969	178	2	0	53	31	148	10	0	422
	1970-1979	227	4	0	53	59	335	12	4	694
	1980-1989	202	2	0	41	59	40	7	6	357
Year Built	1990-1999	172	1	10	33	230	13	2	20	481
real built	2000	20	0	2	3	16	3	0	3	47
	2001	18	1	5	1	17	2	1	5	50
	2002	12	0	5	2	22	1	0	1	43
	2003	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
	2004	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Total	•	1,813	32	23	1,448	533	1,248	182	42	5,321

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Flathead

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	3,182	520	18	7,400	464	3,482	1,098	0	76	16,240
	1960-1969	1,380	86	8	1,172	184	1,864	190	0	56	4,940
	1970-1979	4,258	116	24	2,580	988	4,866	228	0	186	13,246
	1980-1989	4,106	64	116	1,872	1,602	830	198	4	652	9,444
Year Built	1990-1999	6,646	42	364	1,296	4,444	268	110	74	1,392	14,636
Teal Dull	2000	502	2	72	74	516	10	8	60	126	1,370
	2001	586	4	58	48	556	24	6	82	192	1,556
	2002	712	6	64	108	622	30	14	98	174	1,828
	2003	188	2	22	14	214	2	4	12	62	520
	2004	2	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	2	32
Total			842	746	14,564	9,618	11,376	1,856	330	2,918	63,812

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Gallatin

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	2,163	43	7	2,112	213	627	173	1	31	5,370
	1960-1969	826	3	0	110	92	532	7	0	10	1,580
	1970-1979	2,809	9	10	242	528	1,311	7	0	47	4,963
	1980-1989	2,026	8	30	148	767	166	13	1	132	3,291
Year Built	1990-1999	3,939	17	164	259	1,848	46	43	43	461	6,820
Teal Built	2000	510	1	24	55	290	6	1	14	65	966
	2001	585	1	35	65	287	4	1	6	93	1,077
	2002	732	1	19	29	266	0	1	11	63	1,122
	2003	429	0	13	13	149	0	0	5	30	639
	2004	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
Total		14,023	83	302	3,033	4,441	2,692	246	81	932	25,833

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Garfield

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
							Low		
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1959 and earlier	15	14	0	102	0	196	54	381
	1960-1969	19	0	0	46	0	42	1	108
	1970-1979	56	0	0	41	5	71	1	174
	1980-1989	38	0	0	21	8	10	4	81
Year Built	1990-1999	20	0	1	8	33	11	5	78
	2000	4	0	1	0	4	1	0	10
	2001	3	0	0	1	6	3	0	13
	2002	2	0	2	3	4	0	2	13
	2003	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
Total		157	14	4	224	60	335	67	861

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Glacier

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	258	20	0	699	18	401	130	1	1,527
	1960-1969	64	0	0	39	10	85	3	0	201
	1970-1979	187	0	0	50	36	134	3	0	410
	1980-1989	114	2	0	21	21	17	2	0	177
Year Built	1990-1999	93	0	2	9	58	8	0	4	174
	2000	5	0	0	3	7	0	0	0	15
	2001	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	9
	2002	7	0	0	8	4	6	1	0	26
	2003 1 0 0 2 1 2 0 0								6	
Total		737	22	2	831	156	653	139	5	2,545

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Golden Valley

				Quality	& Workm	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	20	53	155	0	112	27	0	367
	1960-1969	13	0	2	1	11	0	0	27
	1970-1979	39	0	9	8	25	2	1	84
	1980-1989	19	0	4	5	2	0	0	30
Year Built	1990-1999	18	0	4	7	3	2	2	36
	2000	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	5
	2001	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	3
	2002	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	2003	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total		112	53	176	25	154	31	3	554

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Granite

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	130	6	0	494	13	203	24	0	3	873
	1960-1969	73	0	0	33	11	84	0	0	0	201
	1970-1979	137	0	1	49	23	83	3	0	1	297
	1980-1989	68	0	1	44	40	16	4	0	5	178
Year Built	1990-1999	117	0	6	66	91	33	3	2	18	336
	2000	15	1	0	7	15	2	1	0	5	46
	2001	16	0	0	7	15	3	0	0	1	42
	2002	19	0	0	4	7	1	0	0	1	32
	2003	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	5
Total	•	576	7	9	704	217	426	35	2	34	2,010

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Hill

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	957	65	0	1,449	26	608	162	0	3,267
	1960-1969	200	2	0	28	8	154	3	0	395
	1970-1979	494	4	0	65	65	447	4	2	1,081
	1980-1989	231	0	0	27	75	50	5	4	392
Year Built	1990-1999	123	0	2	5	106	7	2	12	257
	2000	15	0	0	1	13	0	0	2	31
	2001	3	0	1	1	10	0	0	5	20
	2002	5	0	1	2	14	0	0	3	25
	2003	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
Total		2,028	71	5	1,578	318	1,266	176	28	5,470

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Jefferson

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	89	57	0	345	5	352	155	0	0	1,003
	1960-1969	69	2	0	55	17	176	2	0	0	321
	1970-1979	390	2	0	212	58	430	5	0	6	1,103
Year Built	1980-1989	340	2	1	75	68	27	11	0	2	526
Teal Dull	1990-1999	370	10	6	74	458	18	10	0	22	968
	2000	24	0	2	6	65	4	1	1	1	104
	2001	42	0	3	6	46	4	1	0	1	103
	2002	32	0	1	4	52	2	0	0	0	91
Total		1,356	73	13	777	769	1,013	185	1	32	4,219

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Judith Basin

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	136	36	0	323	7	262	78	0	842
	1960-1969	34	0	0	11	2	42	2	0	91
	1970-1979	72	2	1	23	19	81	9	3	210
	1980-1989	52	1	2	3	12	6	1	1	78
Year Built	1990-1999	38	1	3	4	43	5	2	2	98
	2000	6	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	15
	2001	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	2	8
	2002		0	1	1	0	0	0	3	8
	2003	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	4
Total		342	40	7	369	94	399	92	11	1,354

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Lake

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	744	178	8	2,540	108	2,660	726	0	24	6,988
	1960-1969	718	20	0	740	58	990	90	0	16	2,632
	1970-1979	1,752	54	8	846	404	2,056	98	0	42	5,260
	1980-1989	1,172	8	14	482	338	242	46	2	64	2,368
Voor Built	1990-1999	2,134	8	110	432	1,204	86	28	32	274	4,308
Year Built	2000	182	0	24	36	136	10	0	4	50	442
	2001	140	0	26	50	214	12	8	2	52	504
	2002	172	2	22	46	264	14	20	6	48	594
	2003	32	0	8	0	64	2	0	0	10	116
	2004	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Total		7,052	270	220	5,172	2,790	6,072	1,016	46	580	23,218

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Lewis and Clark

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	2,729	66	16	2,083	278	645	240	0	42	6,099
	1960-1969	1,105	2	1	204	125	640	45	0	9	2,131
	1970-1979	2,854	15	2	261	378	1,737	37	0	18	5,302
	1980-1989	1,468	7	7	116	541	195	24	0	76	2,434
Year Built	1990-1999	1,480	15	10	140	1,586	73	36	1	161	3,502
real built	2000	126	0	3	8	160	2	1	0	13	313
	2001	147	0	0	6	130	4	1	1	15	304
	2002	152	0	1	6	130	3	0	0	20	312
	2003	78	0	0	1	55	1	0	0	10	145
	2004	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Total		10,139	105	40	2,825	3,386	3,300	384	2	364	20,545

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Liberty

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	175	2	0	206	17	109	22	2	533
	1960-1969	31	0	0	13	6	14	0	3	67
	1970-1979	74	0	1	12	36	17	0	0	140
	1980-1989	38	0	0	5	22	1	0	1	67
Year Built	1990-1999	14	0	1	1	27	0	0	0	43
	2000	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4
	2001	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	2002	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	2003	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5
Total		338	2	2	237	115	141	22	6	863

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Lincoln

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	822	122	0	2,190	58	1,490	414	0	12	5,108
	1960-1969	722	24	0	422	10	1,084	70	0	2	2,334
	1970-1979	854	30	0	624	64	2,102	96	0	2	3,772
	1980-1989	950	56	2	388	144	398	176	2	0	2,116
Year Built	1990-1999	1,480	36	4	642	334	282	118	2	26	2,924
real built	2000	172	0	0	72	42	36	8	0	4	334
	2001	122	4	0	50	70	20	10	0	6	282
	2002	136	2	0	40	66	24	4	0	2	274
	2003	90	2	0	28	38	6	4	0	6	174
	2004	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Total		5,348	276	6	4,456	828	5,442	900	4	60	17,320

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Madison

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	307	51	1	602	30	335	87	0	5	1,418
	1960-1969	72	1	0	45	6	128	5	0	1	258
	1970-1979	491	6	0	125	40	296	7	0	12	977
	1980-1989	255	4	1	93	115	52	18	0	18	556
Year Built	1990-1999	560	4	121	102	324	30	16	3	172	1,332
	2000	24	0	3	2	31	0	0	2	5	67
	2001	60	0	4	9	38	2	0	2	26	141
	2002	38	1	11	7	45	6	1	22	41	172
	2003	6	0	8	5	6	0	0	5	6	36
Total	•	1,813	67	149	990	635	849	134	34	286	4,957

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = McCone

				Quality	& Workma	anship					
							Low				
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total		
	1959 and earlier	89	4	0	193	0	295	35	616		
	1960-1969	40	0	0	26	0	35	1	102		
	1970-1979	107	0	0	26	2	54	0	189		
Voor Built	1980-1989	38	0	0	13	7	5	0	63		
Year Built	1990-1999	17	0	4	10	17	0	1	49		
	2000	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	6		
	2001	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	4		
	2002	2	2 0 0 0 1 0 0								
Total		298	4	6	269	29	389	37	1,032		

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Meagher

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	70	27	1	203	15	203	57	0	576
	1960-1969	26	1	0	37	3	59	1	1	128
	1970-1979	59	2	0	61	9	119	9	1	260
	1980-1989	52	0	1	18	18	23	7	3	122
Year Built	1990-1999	70	0	0	27	36	11	0	11	155
	2000	8	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	14
	2001	6	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	12
	2002	1								
	2003	1	1 0 1 0 2 0 0							
Total		293	30	5	350	92	415	74	17	1,276

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Mineral

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
	Average Cheap Excellent Fair Good Cost Poor Good									Total
	1959 and earlier	38	25	0	168	1	234	61	0	527
	1960-1969	28	1	0	22	1	129	16	0	197
	1970-1979	81	11	0	64	5	281	26	0	468
	1980-1989	72	10	1	38	10	41	13	0	185
Year Built	1990-1999	134	5	2	46	100	18	7	1	313
	2000	13	0	0	3	20	3	3	0	42
	2001	14	0	1	1	7	0	1	0	24
	2002	27								36
	2003	12	12 0 0 0 4 0 0							16
Total		419	52	4	344	153	708	127	1	1,808

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Missoula

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	5,170	22	1	2,906	174	693	78	0	12	9,056
	1960-1969	2,050	1	2	367	221	747	5	0	23	3,416
	1970-1979	3,983	3	4	480	609	1,906	13	1	73	7,072
	1980-1989	1,873	6	7	232	414	222	9	1	109	2,873
Year Built	1990-1999	3,651	7	30	240	1,922	76	26	3	247	6,202
real built	2000	369	0	3	25	193	7	2	1	28	628
	2001	327	2	7	17	201	3	2	0	39	598
	2002	354	0	9	22	120	4	0	1	29	539
	2003	332	0	1	12	59	3	0	0	33	440
	2004	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Total	•	18,113	41	64	4,301	3,913	3,661	135	7	593	30,828

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Musselshell

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	198	25	0	481	7	331	84	0	1,126
	1960-1969	28	0	0	14	2	116	0	0	160
	1970-1979	124	20	0	46	22	302	12	0	526
	1980-1989	134	8	0	36	18	35	16	1	248
Year Built	1990-1999	115	5	4	48	60	24	7	0	263
	2000	11	0	0	7	4	2	0	0	24
	2001	11	0	0	3	5	1	0	0	20
	2002	7	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	18
	2003	4	4 0 0 0 2 0 0 0							
Total		632	58	4	637	129	811	119	1	2,391

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Park

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	1,505	56	2	1,104	170	440	129	0	24	3,430
	1960-1969	145	1	0	47	27	195	6	0	5	426
	1970-1979	637	1	5	77	220	347	4	0	17	1,308
	1980-1989	288	5	12	79	255	75	17	0	35	766
Year Built	1990-1999	548	4	21	141	312	49	13	5	81	1,174
Teal Dull	2000	51	0	2	15	40	2	1	1	7	119
	2001	53	1	7	31	30	7	1	2	15	147
	2002	55	0	1	20	27	1	0	1	5	110
	2003	13	0	0	3	6	1	1	0	0	24
	2004	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Total		3,296	68	50	1,517	1,088	1,117	172	9	189	7,506

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Petroleum

			Q	uality & W	orkmansh	ip		
						Low		
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1959 and earlier	6	5	44	0	78	27	160
	1960-1969	4	0	3	0	8	1	16
	1970-1979	27	0	4	2	26	0	59
Year Built	1980-1989	12	0	2	5	2	0	21
Teal Dull	1990-1999	12	1	6	13	2	1	35
	2000	3	1	0	3	2	5	14
	2001	2	0	2	1	0	0	5
	2002	0	0	0	3	1	0	4
Total		66	7	61	27	119	34	314

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Phillips

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	123	25	0	420	1	474	104	0	1,147
	1960-1969	59	0	0	28	2	64	1	0	154
	1970-1979	202	1	0	52	5	135	7	0	402
	1980-1989	126	0	0	25	11	21	0	0	183
Year Built	1990-1999	69	0	1	6	30	5	3	0	114
	2000	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6
	2001	3	0	0	1	8	2	1	1	16
	2002	5	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	11
	2003	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	7
Total	-	596	26	1	532	63	703	116	3	2,040

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Pondera

				Quality	& Workma	anship				
						Low		Very		
		Average Cheap Fair Good Cost Poor Good								
	1959 and earlier	404	18	679	22	291	60	1	1,475	
	1960-1969	55	2	15	9	55	2	0	138	
	1970-1979	141	0	11	23	113	0	0	288	
	1980-1989	90	0	10	21	7	0	0	128	
Year Built	1990-1999	54	0	5	46	2	1	2	110	
	2000	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	8	
	2001	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	7	
	2002	5	0	1	2	0	0	0	8	
	2003	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	
Total		758	20	721	132	468	63	3	2,165	

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Powder River

			Q	uality & W	orkmansh	ip				
						Low				
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total		
	1959 and earlier	44	12	187	2	158	84	487		
	1960-1969	25	0	32	3	36	0	96		
	1970-1979	74	1	37	4	96	0	212		
	1980-1989	69	0	6	2	9	0	86		
Year Built	1990-1999	59	1	7	17	2	1	87		
	2000	9	0	1	3	1	1	15		
	2001	10	1	3	2	0	0	16		
	2002	6	0	1	1	0	0	8		
	2003	0	0 0 1 0 0							
Total		296	15	275	34	302	86	1,008		

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Powell

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	387	23	1	785	30	195	53	0	7	1,481
	1960-1969	94	1	1	18	7	78	1	0	0	200
	1970-1979	315	0	1	24	64	111	2	0	2	519
	1980-1989	104	2	1	25	46	32	5	0	5	220
Year Built	1990-1999	115	0	16	34	81	29	3	0	11	289
	2000	13	0	1	5	7	3	0	1	1	31
	2001	15	1	1	3	6	2	0	0	3	31
	2002	5	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	1	13
	2003	5	0	1	3	1	1	0	0	1	12
Total	-	1,053	27	23	902	244	451	64	1	31	2,796

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Prairie

			Q	uality & W	orkmansh	ip					
						Low					
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total			
	1959 and earlier	35	4	190	0	190	37	456			
	1960-1969	8	1	15	0	14	1	39			
	1970-1979	44	0	10	4	32	1	91			
Voor Built	1980-1989	19	0	6	2	2	0	29			
Year Built	1990-1999	5	0	4	11	0	0	20			
	2000	1	0	0	1	0	0	2			
	2001	3	0	0	2	0	0	5			
	2002	2	2 0 1 2 1 0								
Total		117	5	226	22	239	39	648			

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Ravalli

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	498	63	3	2,050	46	690	103	0	3	3,456
	1960-1969	223	8	1	296	26	491	24	0	0	1,069
	1970-1979	1,130	11	2	847	186	1,248	39	1	2	3,466
	1980-1989	919	21	4	451	232	190	42	3	23	1,885
Year Built	1990-1999	2,378	27	48	503	814	114	37	5	92	4,018
real built	2000	249	1	9	53	85	6	3	8	20	434
	2001	250	2	5	56	99	6	2	7	20	447
	2002	277	0	6	35	69	7	5	4	15	418
	2003	83	1	1	11	32	2	0	0	6	136
	2004	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Total		6,009	134	79	4,302	1,590	2,754	255	28	181	15,332

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Richland

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	280	2	0	1,110	16	581	34	0	2,023
	1960-1969	119	0	0	126	5	43	2	0	295
	1970-1979	395	0	0	179	21	203	0	2	800
	1980-1989	251	0	0	68	36	27	0	1	383
Year Built	1990-1999	88	0	0	13	55	1	1	4	162
	2000	8	0	1	3	6	0	0	0	18
	2001	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	9
	2002	4	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	13
	2003	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Total		1,150	1,150 2 1 1,507 147 855 37 7							

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Roosevelt

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	284	6	0	704	15	509	44	1	1,563
	1960-1969	104	1	0	49	12	64	1	1	232
	1970-1979	130	0	2	28	26	221	0	2	409
	1980-1989	130	0	0	33	35	20	1	0	219
Year Built	1990-1999	71	0	10	6	48	1	0	0	136
	2000	7	0	1	2	5	1	0	0	16
	2001	5	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	9
	2002	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	8
	2003	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total		737	7	13	824	146	816	46	4	2,593

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Rosebud

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	155	24	350	4	397	87	0	1,017
	1960-1969	45	0	63	4	93	3	0	208
	1970-1979	276	0	84	4	403	2	2	771
	1980-1989	311	0	26	16	64	1	1	419
Year Built	1990-1999	149	1	21	26	10	6	0	213
Teal Dull	2000	8	0	5	8	0	0	1	22
	2001	0	0	5	3	3	0	0	11
	2002	6	0	2	5	3	0	0	16
	2003	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	5
	2004	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Total	otal		25	556	73	973	99	4	2,684

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Sanders

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	198	74	1,138	12	1,166	382	0	2,970
	1960-1969	110	12	124	6	508	60	0	820
	1970-1979	366	28	376	58	1,294	72	4	2,198
	1980-1989	488	32	224	146	208	78	0	1,176
Year Built	1990-1999	798	18	278	554	124	78	30	1,880
rear built	2000	96	0	30	64	8	10	8	216
	2001	58	4	10	66	10	2	12	162
	2002	62	2	28	72	14	8	10	196
	2003	40	0	32	120	8	0	0	200
	2004	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Total		2,216	170	2,240	1,100	3,340	690	64	9,820

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Sheridan

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	164	37	480	3	450	84	0	1,218
	1960-1969	113	0	28	8	46	0	0	195
	1970-1979	130	0	27	13	84	0	0	254
	1980-1989	107	0	10	14	11	0	2	144
Year Built	1990-1999	32	0	0	18	1	0	0	51
	2000	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	6
	2001	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	4
	2002	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	2003	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Total			37	545	61	592	84	2	1,876

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Silver Bow

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	2,035	4	3	6,000	113	439	21	0	15	8,630
	1960-1969	623	0	1	81	44	214	1	0	0	964
	1970-1979	777	0	1	108	138	534	1	0	6	1,565
	1980-1989	258	0	0	31	166	33	0	0	11	499
Year Built	1990-1999	493	1	1	23	416	4	0	2	26	966
	2000	23	0	0	3	33	1	0	0	0	60
	2001	20	0	0	4	17	0	0	0	2	43
	2002	5	0	1	1	26	4	0	0	3	40
	2003	4	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	9
Total	•	4,238	5	8	6,252	955	1,229	23	3	63	12,776

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Stillwater

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	252	15	0	747	22	282	58	0	1	1,377
	1960-1969	60	1	0	68	6	83	6	0	0	224
	1970-1979	244	1	0	176	22	241	13	0	1	698
	1980-1989	223	3	0	99	42	55	8	0	1	431
Year Built	1990-1999	323	3	3	137	153	52	7	2	27	707
	2000	24	0	2	14	19	23	3	0	3	88
	2001	34	0	2	22	20	5	1	0	1	85
	2002	31	0	1	11	13	3	0	0	3	62
	2003	7	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	3	20
Total		1,198	23	8	1,280	301	744	96	2	40	3,692

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Sweet Grass

					Quality	& Workma	anship					
							Low			Very		
		Average										
	1959 and earlier	203	8	0	360	19	223	53	0	3	869	
	1960-1969	67	0	0	24	5	30	0	0	2	128	
	1970-1979	154	1	0	34	39	43	5	0	1	277	
	1980-1989	70	4	5	15	45	13	6	0	8	166	
Year Built	1990-1999	150	0	8	33	60	18	2	1	8	280	
	2000	17	0	0	2	14	4	0	0	4	41	
	2001	39	0	2	6	8	1	0	1	3	60	
	2002	10	0	2	8	7	1	0	0	2	30	
	2003	5	0	0	3	3	1	1	0	2	15	
Total		715	715 13 17 485 200 334 67 2 33								1,866	

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Teton

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average Cheap Excellent Fair Good Cost Poor Superior Good									Total
	1959 and earlier	392	44	0	799	24	355	81	0	0	1,695
	1960-1969	79	1	0	31	6	45	1	0	0	163
	1970-1979	152	1	1	48	17	158	6	0	0	383
	1980-1989	91	3	0	21	28	9	3	0	2	157
Year Built	1990-1999	116	1	6	18	83	6	0	0	7	237
	2000	10	0	2	0	7	0	0	1	0	20
	2001	10	0	2	0	9	0	0	1	2	24
	2002	7	0	0	1	9	1	0	0	0	18
	2003	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
Total		859	50	11	919	184	574	91	2	11	2,701

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Toole

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	263	31	635	50	341	77	2	1,399
	1960-1969	38	0	12	13	54	1	2	120
	1970-1979	93	0	10	24	137	0	3	267
	1980-1989	61	0	8	17	20	0	2	108
Year Built	1990-1999	55	0	6	34	1	0	2	98
	2000	5	0	0	5	0	0	1	11
	2001	6	0	1	1	0	0	0	8
	2002	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
	2003	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	5
Total	otal		31	673	148	553	78	12	2,020

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Treasure

				Quality	& Workm	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	37	1	100	1	79	16	0	234
	1960-1969	7	0	3	0	14	0	0	24
	1970-1979	11	0	6	1	46	2	0	66
	1980-1989	25	0	5	0	3	0	0	33
Year Built	1990-1999	20	0	7	13	2	0	0	42
	2000	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	5
	2001	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
	2002	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	2003	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Total		102	1	123	21	144	18	1	410

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Valley

				Qı	uality & Wo	orkmansh	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	491	24	1	925	21	555	110	4	2,131
	1960-1969	1,012	0	1	43	17	49	1	5	1,128
	1970-1979	304	1	0	21	63	93	0	7	489
	1980-1989	125	0	0	21	25	8	1	3	183
Year Built	1990-1999	67	0	2	3	65	1	0	5	143
rear built	2000	7	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	17
	2001	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	1	21
	2002	6	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	11
	2003	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	9
	2004	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total		2,026	25	4	1,017	215	706	112	28	4,133

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Wheatland

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	106	27	260	4	263	68	1	729
	1960-1969	27	2	4	0	38	6	0	77
	1970-1979	74	1	7	12	37	2	0	133
	1980-1989	28	0	5	16	11	0	0	60
Year Built	1990-1999	26	0	13	10	1	2	0	52
	2000	4	0	2	3	0	0	0	9
	2001	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	8
	2002	6	0	1	1	0	0	0	8
	2003	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Total		277	30	293	50	350	78	1	1,079

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Wibaux

				Quality	& Workm	anship			
							Low		
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1959 and earlier	68	3	0	146	0	60	10	287
	1960-1969	15	0	0	7	2	10	0	34
	1970-1979	31	0	0	17	14	13	1	76
	1980-1989	30	0	0	5	8	2	0	45
Year Built	1990-1999	4	0	1	1	11	2	0	19
	2000	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	3
	2001	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total		150	3	2	177	40	87	11	470

TABLE 7
Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era

County = Yellowstone

					Quality	& Workm	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1959 and earlier	7,885	13	6	5,966	486	1,394	156	1	58	15,965
	1960-1969	2,738	0	1	406	251	675	4	0	28	4,103
	1970-1979	5,728	0	3	585	906	2,842	3	0	111	10,178
	1980-1989	3,470	1	54	639	870	321	3	0	146	5,504
Year Built	1990-1999	3,609	0	93	192	1,617	22	3	3	341	5,880
rear built	2000	438	0	13	29	168	2	0	0	56	706
	2001	394	0	7	30	227	4	0	0	76	738
	2002	325	0	10	18	298	1	0	1	61	714
	2003	230	0	3	16	163	0	0	1	35	448
	2004	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	7
Total	•	24,822	14	190	7,881	4,988	5,261	169	6	912	44,243

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

State = Montana

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	66,096	1,930	1,495	19,759	27,274	47,311	4,330	487	4,566	173,248
	1940-1949	1,044	311	0	4,746	83	3,955	1,083	0	3	11,225
	1950-1959	1,798	145	0	7,155	65	4,908	723	0	5	14,799
Effective	1960-1969	9,920	191	1	17,945	345	5,971	968	0	30	35,371
Age	1970-1979	25,899	387	27	17,909	1,811	7,619	1,667	1	161	55,481
	1980-1989	41,960	189	111	20,468	6,311	4,176	732	1	695	74,643
	1990-1999	16,194	60	365	4,382	7,537	872	218	25	1,988	31,641
	2000 or later	2,475	4	194	393	2,011	117	31	56	704	5,985
Total		165,386	3,217	2,193	92,757	45,437	74,929	9,752	570	8,152	402,393

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Beaverhead

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	906	89	17	728	343	685	233	5	13	3,019
	1940-1949	0	0	0	7	0	2	2	0	0	11
	1950-1959	1	0	0	6	0	5	2	0	0	14
Effective	1960-1969	90	6	0	311	6	80	31	0	0	524
Age	1970-1979	41	0	0	78	2	87	12	0	0	220
	1980-1989	120	0	1	82	17	11	0	0	2	233
	1990-1999	17	0	0	10	11	1	0	0	0	39
	2000 or later	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total		1,177	95	18	1,222	379	871	280	5	15	4,062

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Big Horn

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	386	19	17	32	233	567	26	0	1,280
	1940-1949	74	5	0	225	0	72	13	0	389
	1950-1959	88	3	0	247	0	56	14	0	408
Effective	1960-1969	138	6	0	166	3	13	6	0	332
Age	1970-1979	244	4	1	69	15	12	2	2	349
	1980-1989	154	12	0	25	17	5	5	6	224
	1990-1999	57	7	0	21	14	9	3	2	113
	2000 or later	14	1	0	4	3	1	0	0	23
Total		1,155	57	18	789	285	735	69	10	3,118

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Blaine

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	90	13	28	68	46	294	81	3	623
	1940-1949	2	0	0	19	0	26	4	0	51
	1950-1959	17	0	0	155	1	142	11	0	326
Effective	1960-1969	56	0	0	183	9	131	1	0	380
Age	1970-1979	76	0	0	157	7	24	0	0	264
	1980-1989	88	0	0	63	18	6	0	1	176
	1990-1999	53	0	0	8	13	0	0	0	74
	2000 or later	8	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	13
Total		390	13	28	655	97	623	97	4	1,907

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Broadwater

				Qu	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	260	11	0	95	62	100	32	8	568
	1940-1949	11	1	0	58	4	17	2	0	93
	1950-1959	38	0	0	99	5	26	4	0	172
Effective	1960-1969	42	0	0	78	2	70	4	0	196
Age	1970-1979	81	0	0	70	8	169	3	0	331
	1980-1989	130	0	0	154	43	26	5	3	361
	1990-1999	128	1	1	25	48	5	3	2	213
	2000 or later	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
Total		693	13	1	579	173	413	53	13	1,938

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Carbon

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	410	10	5	36	168	370	18	13	1,030
	1940-1949	5	5	0	47	0	55	12	0	124
	1950-1959	20	10	0	332	0	236	23	0	621
Effective	1960-1969	161	7	0	696	5	120	19	1	1,009
Age	1970-1979	242	2	0	378	16	58	9	4	709
	1980-1989	483	2	0	224	83	28	7	3	830
	1990-1999	391	3	5	130	341	18	3	74	965
	2000 or later	72	0	4	26	51	5	1	14	173
Total		1,784	39	14	1,869	664	890	92	109	5,461

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Carter

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	7	0	48	11	99	12	0	177
	1940-1949	2	1	83	0	32	1	0	119
	1950-1959	2	2	54	0	32	1	0	91
Effective	1960-1969	15	0	43	0	55	0	0	113
Age	1970-1979	31	0	22	0	74	0	0	127
	1980-1989	51	2	16	8	12	2	1	92
	1990-1999	16	0	1	17	5	0	0	39
	2000 or later	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total		126	5	267	36	309	16	1	760

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Cascade

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	848	1	17	61	317	2,234	24	0	9	3,511
	1940-1949	24	0	0	71	2	69	16	0	0	182
	1950-1959	179	1	0	443	4	225	13	0	1	866
Effective	1960-1969	1,877	2	1	1,781	64	199	10	0	4	3,938
Age	1970-1979	4,988	0	0	1,142	222	96	9	0	10	6,467
	1980-1989	4,768	0	1	440	523	65	2	0	19	5,818
	1990-1999	1,932	0	28	343	1,025	24	4	2	186	3,544
	2000 or later	438	0	40	67	742	7	1	3	223	1,521
Total		15,054	4	87	4,348	2,899	2,919	79	5	452	25,847

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Chouteau

				Qu	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	120	0	3	9	76	181	2	1	392
	1940-1949	6	60	0	58	0	317	113	0	554
	1950-1959	42	1	0	273	1	182	10	0	509
Effective	1960-1969	85	1	0	224	2	53	5	0	370
Age	1970-1979	215	0	0	159	13	21	3	0	411
	1980-1989	124	0	0	51	24	11	1	0	211
	1990-1999	55	0	0	8	21	8	1	4	97
	2000 or later	9	0	2	5	22	2	0	1	41
Total		656	62	5	787	159	775	135	6	2,585

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Custer

				Qu	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	154	1	2	12	62	446	6	0	683
	1940-1949	2	5	0	18	0	50	63	0	138
	1950-1959	13	5	0	87	0	187	104	1	397
Effective	1960-1969	150	0	0	617	7	357	61	1	1,193
Age	1970-1979	238	0	0	589	8	89	3	2	929
	1980-1989	393	1	0	253	64	15	0	2	728
	1990-1999	122	5	0	47	42	4	6	7	233
	2000 or later	32	1	0	16	15	3	4	1	72
Total		1,104	18	2	1,639	198	1,151	247	14	4,373

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Daniels

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	39	5	26	15	146	22	2	255
	1940-1949	2	0	87	0	126	10	0	225
	1950-1959	7	0	84	0	51	2	0	144
Effective	1960-1969	45	0	105	1	24	0	0	175
Age	1970-1979	52	0	54	6	1	0	0	113
	1980-1989	41	0	14	10	2	0	2	69
	1990-1999	24	0	0	7	0	0	2	33
	2000 or later	5	0	0	2	0	0	2	9
Total	<u>.</u>	215	5	370	41	350	34	8	1,023

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Dawson

				Qu	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
	Average Cheap Excellent Fair Good Cost Poor Good								Total	
	1939 and Earlier	262	3	1	173	94	320	19	3	875
	1940-1949	133	1	0	229	10	67	5	1	446
	1950-1959	152	2	0	238	5	31	4	0	432
Effective	1960-1969	253	1	0	248	2	16	3	2	525
Age	1970-1979	610	0	0	98	20	16	0	1	745
	1980-1989	390	2	0	24	39	6	3	4	468
	1990-1999	41	0	0	2	12	2	2	1	60
	2000 or later	5	0	0	2	5	0	1	0	13
Total		1,846	9	1	1,014	187	458	37	12	3,564

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Deer Lodge

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р				
			Low Very								
	Average Cheap Excellent Fair Good Cost Poor Go						Good	Total			
	1939 and Earlier	423	14	1	241	106	438	54	5	1,282	
	1940-1949	253	2	0	1,119	17	400	45	1	1,837	
⊏#a atiu ra	1950-1959	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	9	
Effective	1960-1969	321	0	0	734	7	77	15	0	1,154	
Age	1970-1979	9	0	0	9	1	6	1	0	26	
	1980-1989	7	0	0	34	2	1	0	0	44	
	1990-1999	11	0	0	11	0	2	0	0	24	
Total		1,028	16	1	2,152	133	925	115	6	4,376	

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Fallon

			C	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	р		
						Low		
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1939 and Earlier	33	1	50	9	253	12	358
	1940-1949	7	0	157	0	77	0	241
	1950-1959	6	0	61	0	28	0	95
Effective	1960-1969	28	0	106	0	29	0	163
Age	1970-1979	85	0	39	1	51	0	176
	1980-1989	98	0	56	2	9	0	165
	1990-1999	32	0	3	44	2	1	82
	2000 or later	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
Total		292	1	473	56	449	13	1,284

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Fergus

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р					
			Low Very									
	Average Cheap Excellent Fair Good Cost Poor Good									Total		
	1939 and Earlier	236	0	4	1	103	409	0	1	754		
	1940-1949	18	31	0	73	12	319	137	0	590		
	1950-1959	102	1	0	425	4	273	14	0	819		
Effective	1960-1969	354	0	0	462	11	109	13	0	949		
Age	1970-1979	462	0	0	323	30	78	8	3	904		
	1980-1989	436	0	0	118	109	38	5	3	709		
	1990-1999	159	0	3	40	201	15	4	25	447		
	2000 or later	46	0	16	6	63	7	1	10	149		
Total		1,813	32	23	1,448	533	1,248	182	42	5,321		

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Flathead

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
1939 and Earlier 9,666 504 452 1,814 5,850 7,274 66									284	1,734	28,242
	1940-1949	0	2	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	8
	1950-1959	14	8	0	18	0	4	10	0	0	54
Effective	1960-1969	56	50	0	306	4	182	68	0	0	666
Age	1970-1979	292	152	6	644	30	1,558	634	0	2	3,318
	1980-1989	7,296	120	22	9,980	1,478	2,094	408	0	186	21,584
	1990-1999	4,120	6	186	1,782	2,160	254	66	8	854	9,436
	2000 or later	118	0	80	20	94	6	6	38	142	504
Total 21,562 842 746 14,564 9,618 11,376 1,85								1,856	330	2,918	63,812

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Gallatin

					Quality	& Workma	anship					
							Low			Very		
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total	
	1939 and Earlier	9,578	9,578 72 276 807 3,675 2,116 94 77 809									
	1940-1949	2	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	9	
	1950-1959	15	3	0	48	0	29	13	0	0	108	
Effective	1960-1969	831	6	0	1,646	32	418	126	0	2	3,061	
Age	1970-1979	2,253	0	8	433	203	69	8	0	33	3,007	
	1980-1989	957	0	7	77	344	47	4	0	36	1,472	
	1990-1999	231	2	9	15	136	9	0	2	32	436	
	2000 or later	156	0	2	4	51	0	1	2	20	236	
Total		14,023	83	302	3,033	4,441	2,692	246	81	932	25,833	

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Garfield

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
							Low		
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1939 and Earlier	75	11	4	5	55	111	26	287
	1940-1949	0	1	0	6	0	57	18	82
	1950-1959	1	1	0	40	0	94	10	146
Effective	1960-1969	11	1	0	70	0	33	2	117
Age	1970-1979	27	0	0	56	0	17	2	102
	1980-1989	19	0	0	38	1	8	4	70
	1990-1999	17	0	0	8	4	11	5	45
	2000 or later	7	0	0	1	0	4	0	12
Total	•	157	14	4	224	60	335	67	861

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Glacier

				Qu	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	181	2	1	22	69	109	13	1	398
	1940-1949	1	18	0	26	0	48	88	0	181
	1950-1959	9	1	0	114	1	163	29	0	317
Effective	1960-1969	52	0	0	352	2	186	1	0	593
Age	1970-1979	212	0	0	249	7	105	5	0	578
	1980-1989	212	1	0	50	33	33	2	1	332
	1990-1999	66	0	1	18	39	9	1	3	137
	2000 or later	4	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	9
Total		737	22	2	831	156	653	139	5	2,545

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Golden Valley

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	35	50	7	12	56	20	0	180
	1940-1949	0	2	5	0	20	2	0	29
	1950-1959	1	1	64	0	51	4	0	121
Effective	1960-1969	18	0	71	0	13	3	0	105
Age	1970-1979	21	0	14	1	10	0	0	46
	1980-1989	25	0	11	3	2	0	0	41
	1990-1999	10	0	3	5	1	2	3	24
	2000 or later	2	0	1	4	1	0	0	8
Total		112	53	176	25	154	31	3	554

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Granite

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	561	7	9	688	216	416	35	2	32	1,966
	1940-1949	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
⊏#a ations	1950-1959	3	0	0	5	0	4	0	0	0	12
Effective	1960-1969	8	0	0	8	0	6	0	0	0	22
Age	1970-1979	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	1980-1989	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3
	1990-1999	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
Total	•	576	7	9	704	217	426	35	2	34	2,010

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Hill

				Qu	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	259	71	5	48	116	721	160	11	1,391
	1940-1949	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
	1950-1959	1	0	0	62	0	93	1	0	157
Effective	1960-1969	51	0	0	171	0	93	2	0	317
Age	1970-1979	102	0	0	351	0	188	12	0	653
	1980-1989	1,227	0	0	924	67	163	1	0	2,382
	1990-1999	328	0	0	17	90	5	0	6	446
	2000 or later	60	0	0	4	45	2	0	11	122
Total		2,028	71	5	1,578	318	1,266	176	28	5,470

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Jefferson

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	1,242	71	13	479	761	785	152	1	32	3,536
	1940-1949	0	1	0	10	0	100	7	0	0	118
	1950-1959	4	1	0	82	0	104	16	0	0	207
Effective	1960-1969	20	0	0	161	1	10	8	0	0	200
Age	1970-1979	47	0	0	26	0	7	1	0	0	81
	1980-1989	27	0	0	14	6	6	1	0	0	54
	1990-1999	15	0	0	5	1	1	0	0	0	22
	2000 or later	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total		1,356	73	13	777	769	1,013	185	1	32	4,219

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Judith Basin

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	42	0	1	6	28	51	0	2	130
	1940-1949	3	38	0	11	1	115	59	0	227
	1950-1959	29	0	0	132	0	97	17	0	275
Effective	1960-1969	67	1	0	132	1	46	7	0	254
Age	1970-1979	90	1	0	61	2	54	8	0	216
	1980-1989	66	0	1	19	20	28	0	1	135
	1990-1999	37	0	3	5	34	5	1	5	90
	2000 or later	8	0	2	3	8	3	0	3	27
Total		342	40	7	369	94	399	92	11	1,354

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Lake

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	3,120	84	150	632	1,752	2,942	188	34	368	9,270
	1940-1949	2	2	0	2	0	6	2	0	0	14
	1950-1959	2	2	0	10	0	22	22	0	0	58
Effective	1960-1969	14	20	0	62	2	90	60	0	0	248
Age	1970-1979	652	158	0	2,368	40	2,518	664	0	8	6,408
	1980-1989	2,268	4	4	1,690	494	442	80	0	64	5,046
	1990-1999	982	0	60	400	492	50	0	4	132	2,120
	2000 or later	12	0	6	8	10	2	0	8	8	54
Total		7,052	270	220	5,172	2,790	6,072	1,016	46	580	23,218

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Lewis and Clark

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	3,932	72	35	551	2,884	2,632	182	1	308	10,597
	1940-1949	3	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	8
	1950-1959	1	0	0	2	0	5	1	0	0	9
Effective	1960-1969	551	17	0	741	26	253	74	0	3	1,665
Age	1970-1979	1,491	7	1	523	111	211	77	0	5	2,426
	1980-1989	3,033	4	2	846	190	147	35	0	11	4,268
	1990-1999	1,091	5	2	155	147	47	15	0	32	1,494
	2000 or later	37	0	0	5	28	2	0	1	5	78
Total		10,139	105	40	2,825	3,386	3,300	384	2	364	20,545

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Liberty

				Qu	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	178	2	1	66	86	83	22	6	444
	1940-1949	5	0	0	19	0	6	0	0	30
	1950-1959	39	0	0	83	1	34	0	0	157
Effective	1960-1969	30	0	0	33	0	10	0	0	73
Age	1970-1979	34	0	0	25	6	7	0	0	72
	1980-1989	34	0	1	11	18	1	0	0	65
	1990-1999	14	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	16
	2000 or later	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6
Total		338	2	2	237	115	141	22	6	863

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Lincoln

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	4,748	192	6	3,064	760	4,614	664	4	52	14,104
	1940-1949	224	70	0	868	16	462	186	0	0	1,826
	1950-1959	26	0	0	68	0	74	12	0	2	182
Effective	1960-1969	54	4	0	146	0	102	8	0	2	316
Age	1970-1979	90	4	0	140	4	80	6	0	0	324
	1980-1989	126	6	0	118	16	62	8	0	2	338
	1990-1999	80	0	0	48	26	36	16	0	0	206
	2000 or later	0	0	0	4	6	12	0	0	2	24
Total		5,348	276	6	4,456	828	5,442	900	4	60	17,320

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Madison

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	1,257	62	148	515	597	704	112	34	262	3,691
	1940-1949	7	1	0	49	2	26	5	0	0	90
	1950-1959	86	3	0	236	2	76	6	0	0	409
Effective	1960-1969	23	1	0	44	3	11	5	0	0	87
Age	1970-1979	110	0	0	110	7	18	2	0	3	250
	1980-1989	303	0	1	31	13	9	2	0	18	377
	1990-1999	26	0	0	5	10	5	2	0	3	51
	2000 or later	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Total		1,813	67	149	990	635	849	134	34	286	4,957

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = McCone

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
							Low		
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1939 and Earlier	63	2	6	2	24	146	23	266
	1940-1949	0	2	0	7	0	96	9	114
	1950-1959	10	0	0	54	0	107	2	173
Effective	1960-1969	16	0	0	109	0	32	2	159
Age	1970-1979	132	0	0	67	0	5	0	204
	1980-1989	58	0	0	16	5	3	0	82
	1990-1999	14	0	0	13	0	0	1	28
	2000 or later	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
Total	•	298	4	6	269	29	389	37	1,032

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Meagher

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	p			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	108	5	3	28	45	156	13	8	366
	1940-1949	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3
	1950-1959	17	12	0	74	6	76	32	0	217
Effective	1960-1969	21	6	0	55	3	50	6	0	141
Age	1970-1979	46	5	1	107	7	65	8	0	239
	1980-1989	50	2	1	65	13	48	10	3	192
	1990-1999	51	0	0	20	17	20	3	6	117
	2000 or later	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total		293	30	5	350	92	415	74	17	1,276

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Mineral

				Qu	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	311	39	3	207	113	594	84	1	1,352
	1940-1949	0	2	0	3	0	2	5	0	12
	1950-1959	1	5	0	15	0	19	11	0	51
Effective	1960-1969	6	1	0	31	0	20	6	0	64
Age	1970-1979	10	1	0	36	3	40	14	0	104
	1980-1989	21	4	0	29	3	23	7	0	87
	1990-1999	62	0	1	22	26	10	0	0	121
	2000 or later	8	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	17
Total	•	419	52	4	344	153	708	127	1	1,808

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Missoula

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	11,261	28	51	999	3,161	2,995	66	5	436	19,002
	1940-1949	9	1	0	18	0	7	1	0	0	36
	1950-1959	100	1	0	118	0	62	14	0	0	295
Effective	1960-1969	1,034	4	0	1,045	16	310	31	0	1	2,441
Age	1970-1979	2,816	4	2	1,460	159	190	13	0	18	4,662
	1980-1989	2,090	2	6	579	239	81	6	0	37	3,040
	1990-1999	625	1	3	72	267	12	3	1	84	1,068
	2000 or later	178	0	2	10	71	4	1	1	17	284
Total		18,113	41	64	4,301	3,913	3,661	135	7	593	30,828

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Musselshell

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	157	16	3	20	53	449	41	0	739
	1940-1949	14	8	0	76	1	98	25	0	222
	1950-1959	43	0	0	156	1	101	16	0	317
Effective	1960-1969	90	0	0	193	1	57	2	0	343
Age	1970-1979	88	20	0	72	4	47	11	0	242
	1980-1989	139	9	0	57	28	32	16	1	282
	1990-1999	78	5	1	51	29	24	8	0	196
	2000 or later	23	0	0	12	12	3	0	0	50
Total		632	58	4	637	129	811	119	1	2,391

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Park

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	1,004	8	35	207	585	644	18	8	98	2,607
	1940-1949	5	3	0	7	1	14	6	0	0	36
	1950-1959	63	31	0	158	4	125	50	0	0	431
Effective	1960-1969	560	17	0	489	48	197	60	0	7	1,378
Age	1970-1979	609	4	0	405	90	78	19	0	5	1,210
	1980-1989	877	2	8	193	186	35	7	0	21	1,329
	1990-1999	175	3	5	57	159	24	12	0	42	477
	2000 or later	3	0	2	1	15	0	0	1	16	38
Total		3,296	68	50	1,517	1,088	1,117	172	9	189	7,506

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Petroleum

			C	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	р		
						Low		
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1939 and Earlier	33	2	0	25	44	12	116
	1940-1949	0	1	1	0	15	4	21
	1950-1959	2	2	24	0	44	10	82
Effective	1960-1969	1	0	17	0	7	2	27
Age	1970-1979	7	0	6	0	4	0	17
	1980-1989	15	0	5	1	2	0	23
	1990-1999	3	1	6	1	2	1	14
	2000 or later	5	1	2	0	1	5	14
Total		66	7	61	27	119	34	314

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Phillips

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	132	19	1	6	52	264	35	2	511
	1940-1949	0	1	0	1	0	14	11	0	27
	1950-1959	1	0	0	3	0	15	6	0	25
Effective	1960-1969	6	4	0	123	0	260	47	0	440
Age	1970-1979	82	2	0	237	0	120	8	0	449
	1980-1989	267	0	0	135	6	20	5	0	433
	1990-1999	90	0	0	24	2	7	0	0	123
	2000 or later	18	0	0	3	3	3	4	1	32
Total		596	26	1	532	63	703	116	3	2,040

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Pondera

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	116	17	20	77	189	32	2	453
	1940-1949	1	2	17	0	33	24	0	77
⊏#ootivo	1950-1959	10	1	157	0	127	5	0	300
Effective Age	1960-1969	68	0	304	2	73	2	0	449
Age	1970-1979	251	0	184	11	29	0	0	475
	1980-1989	239	0	31	27	15	0	1	313
	1990-1999	73	0	8	15	2	0	0	98
Total		758	20	721	132	468	63	3	2,165

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Powder River

			Q	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	р		
						Low		
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1939 and Earlier	133	10	33	23	203	68	470
	1940-1949	2	2	35	0	53	14	106
	1950-1959	6	1	57	0	32	1	97
Effective	1960-1969	38	0	86	2	6	1	133
Age	1970-1979	65	0	47	7	4	0	123
	1980-1989	29	0	6	1	0	0	36
	1990-1999	18	1	8	0	3	1	31
	2000 or later	5	1	3	1	1	1	12
Total	•	296	15	275	34	302	86	1,008

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Powell

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	1,047	27	20	897	241	444	64	1	31	2,772
C#ootive	1960-1969	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	6
Effective	1970-1979	1	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	7
Age	1980-1989	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
	1990-1999	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
Total		1,053	27	23	902	244	451	64	1	31	2,796

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Prairie

			Q	uality & Wo	orkmanshi	р		
						Low		
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1939 and Earlier	31	4	1	18	75	27	156
	1940-1949	0	0	10	0	54	5	69
	1950-1959	14	1	82	0	88	5	190
Effective	1960-1969	12	0	92	0	20	1	125
Age	1970-1979	23	0	26	0	1	1	51
	1980-1989	30	0	10	2	1	0	43
	1990-1999	3	0	4	1	0	0	8
	2000 or later	4	0	1	1	0	0	6
Total		117	5	226	22	239	39	648

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Ravalli

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	3,504	95	66	747	1,061	1,908	104	25	141	7,651
	1940-1949	0	5	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	9
	1950-1959	20	7	0	153	0	102	19	0	0	301
Effective	1960-1969	153	5	0	1,155	12	328	50	0	2	1,705
Age	1970-1979	422	7	2	893	37	192	26	0	1	1,580
	1980-1989	1,163	6	1	1,021	228	156	34	1	2	2,612
	1990-1999	703	9	7	325	238	66	19	2	28	1,397
	2000 or later	44	0	3	8	13	1	1	0	7	77
Total		6,009	134	79	4,302	1,590	2,754	255	28	181	15,332

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Richland

				Qυ	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	257	1	1	179	67	484	22	0	1,011
	1940-1949	48	1	0	306	3	236	8	0	602
	1950-1959	85	0	0	426	1	105	5	0	622
Effective	1960-1969	195	0	0	288	13	18	0	0	514
Age	1970-1979	244	0	0	174	14	7	1	0	440
	1980-1989	264	0	0	114	35	4	0	3	420
	1990-1999	47	0	0	11	11	1	1	4	75
	2000 or later	10	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	22
Total		1,150	2	1	1,507	147	855	37	7	3,706

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Roosevelt

				Qι	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	ip			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	256	4	12	133	88	444	26	0	963
	1940-1949	0	1	0	20	0	17	1	0	39
⊏ffootivo	1950-1959	40	0	0	262	0	246	13	0	561
Effective	1960-1969	29	1	0	112	0	74	4	0	220
Age	1970-1979	253	1	1	247	22	30	2	1	557
	1980-1989	130	0	0	36	27	5	0	3	201
	1990-1999	29	0	0	14	9	0	0	0	52
Total	•	737	7	13	824	146	816	46	4	2,593

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Rosebud

				Quality	& Workm	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	302	17	108	39	757	65	0	1,288
	1940-1949	46	5	148	1	106	16	0	322
	1950-1959	52	2	64	2	41	5	0	166
Effective	1960-1969	64	0	86	3	24	4	0	181
Age	1970-1979	227	0	83	5	22	2	0	339
	1980-1989	204	0	36	12	9	1	3	265
	1990-1999	52	1	21	7	11	6	0	98
	2000 or later	7	0	10	4	3	0	1	25
Total		954	25	556	73	973	99	4	2,684

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Sanders

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	1,422	140	616	978	1,672	460	58	5,346
	1940-1949	0	4	14	2	40	22	0	82
	1950-1959	12	6	254	0	414	58	0	744
Effective	1960-1969	50	12	504	0	380	70	0	1,016
Age	1970-1979	178	6	408	14	496	38	0	1,140
	1980-1989	408	0	388	52	300	34	4	1,186
	1990-1999	142	2	52	50	38	8	0	292
	2000 or later	4	0	4	4	0	0	2	14
Total		2,216	170	2,240	1,100	3,340	690	64	9,820

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Sheridan

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	67	36	11	17	196	60	0	387
	1940-1949	5	1	173	0	283	23	0	485
	1950-1959	50	0	200	0	92	1	0	343
Effective	1960-1969	97	0	97	2	11	0	0	207
Age	1970-1979	221	0	52	18	6	0	0	297
	1980-1989	80	0	11	13	3	0	2	109
	1990-1999	28	0	1	10	1	0	0	40
	2000 or later	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
Total		555	37	545	61	592	84	2	1,876

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Silver Bow

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average									Total
	1939 and Earlier	3,037	3,037 5 6 4,718 877 1,111 22 3 56								
	1940-1949	74	0	0	316	4	72	1	0	0	467
	1950-1959	180	0	0	573	13	28	0	0	1	795
Effective	1960-1969	215	0	0	294	12	8	0	0	1	530
Age	1970-1979	309	0	0	264	11	7	0	0	0	591
	1980-1989	387	0	1	71	31	3	0	0	3	496
	1990-1999	34	0	1	16	7	0	0	0	2	60
	2000 or later	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total		4,238	5	8	6,252	955	1,229	23	3	63	12,776

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Stillwater

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	244	1	2	11	89	295	1	0	1	644
	1940-1949	0	2	0	1	0	8	3	0	0	14
	1950-1959	0	2	0	9	0	24	14	0	0	49
Effective	1960-1969	94	10	0	419	5	190	33	0	0	751
Age	1970-1979	249	1	0	400	16	91	23	0	0	780
	1980-1989	272	4	0	232	46	45	9	0	1	609
	1990-1999	271	3	2	158	96	60	9	2	26	627
	2000 or later	68	0	4	50	49	31	4	0	12	218
Total		1,198	23	8	1,280	301	744	96	2	40	3,692

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Sweet Grass

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	133	4	10	20	69	93	10	0	4	343
	1940-1949	7	4	0	10	0	6	3	0	1	31
	1950-1959	5	0	0	12	0	36	15	0	0	68
Effective	1960-1969	51	1	0	152	1	100	24	0	0	329
Age	1970-1979	120	1	0	158	10	61	6	0	0	356
	1980-1989	195	2	0	88	38	12	6	0	7	348
	1990-1999	160	1	4	40	59	21	3	1	12	301
	2000 or later	44	0	3	5	23	5	0	1	9	90
Total		715	13	17	485	200	334	67	2	33	1,866

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Teton

					Quality	& Workma	anship				
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	214	13	10	27	107	225	33	2	6	637
	1940-1949	4	11	0	25	2	43	25	0	0	110
	1950-1959	15	15	0	180	4	158	15	0	0	387
Effective	1960-1969	126	3	0	414	2	109	7	0	0	661
Age	1970-1979	221	3	0	197	12	16	3	0	0	452
	1980-1989	182	2	1	35	34	17	5	0	0	276
	1990-1999	90	3	0	41	18	6	3	0	2	163
	2000 or later	7	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	15
Total		859	50	11	919	184	574	91	2	11	2,701

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Toole

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	126	30	20	52	223	30	2	483
	1940-1949	5	0	56	1	79	30	0	171
	1950-1959	20	0	150	1	161	16	0	348
Effective	1960-1969	57	1	276	11	49	2	0	396
Age	1970-1979	177	0	139	27	29	0	1	373
	1980-1989	110	0	25	46	11	0	8	200
	1990-1999	30	0	7	10	1	0	0	48
	2000 or later	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total		525	31	673	148	553	78	12	2,020

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Treasure

				Quality	& Workm	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	31	1	18	14	92	10	1	167
	1940-1949	10	0	34	0	28	5	0	77
⊏ffootive	1950-1959	8	0	25	1	13	1	0	48
Effective	1960-1969	14	0	20	0	6	2	0	42
Age	1970-1979	18	0	11	0	1	0	0	30
	1980-1989	16	0	12	1	4	0	0	33
	1990-1999	5	0	3	5	0	0	0	13
Total		102	1	123	21	144	18	1	410

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Valley

				Qu	ality & Wo	orkmanshi	р			
							Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	381	23	2	423	107	446	97	8	1,487
	1940-1949	13	0	0	121	0	72	4	0	210
	1950-1959	48	2	0	182	5	97	2	0	336
Effective	1960-1969	806	0	0	164	1	43	6	1	1,021
Age	1970-1979	266	0	0	63	16	20	0	3	368
	1980-1989	420	0	2	54	37	23	3	9	548
	1990-1999	79	0	0	8	35	4	0	6	132
	2000 or later	13	0	0	2	14	1	0	1	31
Total		2,026	25	4	1,017	215	706	112	28	4,133

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Wheatland

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
						Low		Very	
		Average	Cheap	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	80	13	4	36	101	26	0	260
	1940-1949	3	4	29	0	52	18	0	106
	1950-1959	37	7	119	0	132	16	0	311
Effective	1960-1969	49	0	93	1	39	5	0	187
Age	1970-1979	46	4	20	1	16	3	0	90
	1980-1989	41	2	12	9	8	8	1	81
	1990-1999	15	0	13	1	2	2	0	33
	2000 or later	6	0	3	2	0	0	0	11
Total		277	30	293	50	350	78	1	1,079

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Wibaux

				Quality	& Workma	anship			
							Low		
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Total
	1939 and Earlier	23	3	2	8	34	41	5	116
	1940-1949	5	0	0	44	0	24	4	77
	1950-1959	23	0	0	56	0	13	1	93
Effective	1960-1969	37	0	0	35	0	3	0	75
Age	1970-1979	20	0	0	17	2	2	1	42
	1980-1989	34	0	0	14	3	2	0	53
	1990-1999	6	0	0	2	1	2	0	11
	2000 or later	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Total	•	150	3	2	177	40	87	11	470

Table 8
Quality and Workmanship by Effective Age

County = Yellowstone

		Quality & Workmanship									
							Low			Very	
		Average	Cheap	Excellent	Fair	Good	Cost	Poor	Superior	Good	Total
	1939 and Earlier	2,575	0	66	12	716	3,864	3	1	36	7,273
	1940-1949	7	5	0	19	1	21	22	0	0	75
	1950-1959	34	5	0	120	3	125	47	0	0	334
Effective	1960-1969	630	3	0	1,593	19	768	69	0	3	3,085
Age	1970-1979	6,002	0	5	3,945	565	413	19	1	59	11,009
	1980-1989	11,357	0	51	1,829	1,546	36	6	0	220	15,045
	1990-1999	3,254	1	40	275	1,518	27	3	3	402	5,523
	2000 or later	963	0	28	88	620	7	0	1	192	1,899
Total		24,822	14	190	7,881	4,988	5,261	169	6	912	44,243